Jeffery C. Allen Chair Idaho

Ed Schriever Idaho

Doug Grob Montana

Mike Milburn Montana



KC Golden Vice Chair Washington

Thomas L (Les) Purce Washington

> Ginny Burdick Oregon

Louie Pitt, Jr. Oregon

July 5, 2023

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Council Members

FROM: Jennifer Light, Director of Power Planning

SUBJECT: Western Resource Adequacy Program Update

#### BACKGROUND:

- Presenter: Ryan Roy, Director of Operations & Technology, Western Power Pool
- Summary: The Western Resource Adequacy Program (WRAP) is a reliability and regional planning program for the Northwest, managed by the Western Power Pool. At the August 2022 Council meeting, Sarah Edmonds, President and CEO of the Western Power Pool, provided an overview of the WRAP, highlighting the program design and the development timeline. Since then, FERC approved the WRAP tariff outlining the programs provisions and requirements, which helped clear the way for full implementation.

At this meeting, Ryan Roy will provide the Council an update about the WRAP and its activities. Ryan will also touch on the connection between the WRAP and the Council's planning efforts, and where collaboration between our staffs will provide value to the region.

Relevance: The Council and WRAP both have in their mandate resource adequacy. For the Council, the focus is on long-term planning to develop 20-year power plans that provide recommendations to Bonneville and the region on resources needed to ensure an adequate, efficient, economical, and reliable power supply. Through its annual adequacy assessments, the Council is able to build on these long-term plans by providing important feedback to the region on whether near-term resource acquisition is sufficiently on pace to ensure longer-term resource adequacy. The WRAP focuses more on near-term resource adequacy. The program is designed to send clear signals about resource gaps that need to be filled today to ensure resource adequacy in the coming year. In many ways, the WRAP provides an important mechanism for implementing elements of the Council's power plan.

- Workplan: Track market efforts to inform Council analysis.
- Background: Unlike other parts of the country, the Northwest does not have a Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) or an Independent System Operator (ISO) that provides resource adequacy planning and compliance frameworks. Instead, planning for and ensuring resource adequacy falls on a mix of entities. The Council develops a regional power plan that provides direction to Bonneville and the region to ensure regional system adequacy, among other things. At the time of the passage of the Northwest Power Act, the thinking was that Bonneville would be the primary entity acquiring resources to serve regional load. The reality, however, is different as Bonneville serves less than half of the regional power loads in the Northwest. This results in a mix of entities, ultimately responsible for ensuring regional resource adequacy in this region.

In the late 2010s, utilities across the region were facing rising concerns about resource adequacy. This led to industry calling on the Western Power Pool to take up an effort to address these resource adequacy concerns. Rather than waiting for a fully integrated market solution through an RTO or an ISO, the region wanted to develop its own solution to ensure resource adequacy. This ultimately led to the development of the WRAP.

The WRAP is a voluntary program with a footprint across the west. At a high level, there are two important parts of the WRAP program. The first is the forward showing phase, which is essentially a planning phase for the participants in the program. This phase takes place seven months ahead of each summer and winter season. At this point in time, participants demonstrate that they meet the program requirements for adequacy, using consistent assumptions and a single planning reserve margin for the region. The use of consistent assumptions across all participants helps to ensure that the right signals are being sent regionally. Additionally, the WRAP program includes penalties for not meeting requirements that it expects are significant enough to ensure that resources needed for adequacy are acquired.

The second part of the program is the operations phase during the summer and winter of each year. During these time periods, the WRAP evaluates a nearer term forecast of load and resources relative to the forward showing commitments to identify any utilities that might be surplus or deficit. For example, one utility that has done everything correctly in planning and acquiring resources consistent with the requirements for the forward showing might still have loads show up in real-time that are higher than expected. Since the entire footprint of the WRAP has planned in the forward showing to account for the total load plus a planning reserve margin to maintain adequacy there will most likely be another utility with available surplus. The utility that finds itself short in the operational time period would then be matched with one or more of the utilities showing surplus, and those utilities can then leverage the existing bilateral trading mechanisms used in the region to support the real-time operations of the system.

As described above, one key feature of the WRAP is using consistent assumptions across the participants during the forward showing phase. To ensure that the Council's longer-term planning efforts and adequacy assessments are supportive of and complementary to the work of the WRAP, it is imperative that both entities develop a common understanding of assumptions and an ability to crosswalk between each other's analysis where these assumptions are not yet consistent. Since the Council and WRAP assess adequacy over different regional footprints (the region as defined by the Power Act versus a larger western footprint) one would expect slightly different adequacy assessment perspectives. Additionally, the two organizations have different mechanisms to adopt and evaluate adequacy metrics. While the Council relies on feedback from advisory committees and Council Members have the final decision, the WRAP is participant-driven, and changing metrics requires intent and agreement from program participants. That being said, Council and WPP staff had had multiple conversations on the topic of metrics and are committed to working to ensure this mutual understanding for regional clarity and consistency in planning.

More Info: Sarah Edmonds, President & CEO of the Western Power Pool, presented to the Council in August 2022 to provide an overview of the WRAP. Those materials are available here: https://www.nwcouncil.org/fs/17855/2022\_08\_3.pdf.



# WESTERN RESOURCE Adequacy Program

July 12, 2023

**Ryan Roy** 

Director of Operations and Technology

### **CURRENT PARTICIPANTS**

Arizona Public Service Avista **Bonneville Power Administration** Calpine Chelan County PUD Clatskanie PUD Eugene Water & Electric Board Grant PUD Idaho Power Northwestern Energy NV Energy PacifiCorp Portland General Electric Powerex Public Service Company of New Mexico Puget Sound Energy Salt River Project Seattle City Light Shell Energy Snohomish PUD **Tacoma Power** The Energy Authority



#### WRAP LOAD Winter Peak

61,600 MW 70% of WECC load excluding CA+ Mexico and AESO region

#### **Summer Peak**

68,900 MW 69% of WECC load excluding CA+ Mexico and AESO region





## **IMPLEMENTATION AHEAD**



# **ITEMS IN PROGRESS**

	Chautina
rorward	Snowing

- » Reviewing Forward
  Showings for Winter 24/25
- Beginning work on Forward
  Showing technology solution

### **Operations Program**

- » Connectivity Testing (June 5 – July 28)
- » Structured Testing (July 3 – August 14)
- » Operations Trials
  (August 3 November 1)
- » Summer 2023 Interim RA Program underway

#### Governance

- » Seated new Board of Directors in February 2023 and hosted first public meeting May 31
- » Upcoming Board of Directors meeting August 23
- Working on first round of Business
  Practice Manuals



## **BUSINESS PRACTICE MANUAL REVIEW**



# WRAP AND MARKETS

- » WRAP participants are closely evaluating incremental market alternatives: CAISO's Extended Day-Ahead Market (EDAM) and SPP's Markets+.
- » WRAP is a standalone resource adequacy program that depends on firm transmission to ensure reliability and deliver customer benefit. EDAM and Markets+ also rely on the availability of transmission to deliver market benefits.

### How can we ensure WRAP and Markets interact around transmission in a way that protects WRAP's value proposition?

- » WPP recently published a <u>whitepaper analyzing hypothetical transmission transfer scenarios</u> for WRAP with EDAM and Markets+. The analysis concluded:
  - For EDAM, CAISO has substantially completed its tariff. CAISO's design gives capacity-backed WRAP RA transactions using firm or conditional firm transmission equal curtailment priority to market transactions in certain circumstances.
  - For Markets+, substantially more work remains on design and tariff language. SPP points to the curtailment priority design used for its eastern market, which puts transactions using firm transmission above market transactions.





# SUMMER 2023 STATUS

- Not enough to have sufficient capacity in total it must be appropriately allocated to the need
- Absent a program like WRAP there is minimal incentive to ensure capacity aligns with need or to reduce reliance on market imports
- The competition for these imports is significantly increasing
- In an environment where sufficient capacity is not procured on a forward basis and entities cannot receive the benefit of diversity of resources and loads, load will remain at significant risk



## APPENDIX



## **PROGRAM DESIGN OVERVIEW**

### FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM



- » Establishes a regional reliability metric (1 event-day in 10 years LOLE)
- » Utilizes thoughtful modeling and analytics efforts to:
  - » Determine historical summer and winter capacity critical hours (CCHs) data sets for the region
  - » Determine each resource type's **qualifying capacity contribution** (QCC) to the regional capacity needs
- » Peak load forecast based on P50 metric; growth rates and other common standards provided by Program
- » Planning Reserve Margin is calculated by SPP and approved by the WPP Board



## **PROGRAM DESIGN OVERVIEW**

### FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM

Determine Program Capacity Requirement Determine Resource Capacity Contribution

Compliance Review of Portfolio

- » Wind and Solar: ELCC
- » Run-of-River Hydro: Historical performance on CCHs
- Storage Hydro: WPP-developed hydro model that considers the past 10 years generation, potential energy storage, and current operational constraints
- » **Thermal:** UCAP (resource capability is adjusted to reflect historic forced outage rates during capacity critical hours)
- » Short Term Storage: ELCC
- » **Hybrid Resource:** "Sum of parts" method ESR will use ELCC and generator will use appropriate method
- » **Contracts:** resource-specific, not double-counted for other RA, will not be cut before load shed
- » Customer Side Resources: load modifier or capacity resource
- External Resources (imports): must be supported by an identified source, an assurance that the capacity is not used for another entity's resource adequacy requirements, an assurance that the seller will not fail to deliver in order to meet other supply obligations, and affirmation of NERC priority 6 or 7 firm point-to-point transmission service rights (or network integration transmission service rights) from the identified source to the point of delivery/load

Resources are registered with and certified by Program Operator to receive a Qualifying Capacity Contribution (QCC) in advance of showing deadlines.



# **PROGRAM DESIGN OVERVIEW**

### **FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM**



**Transmission Showing:** participant must show firm transmission rights (minimum of NERC 6 or NERC 7) sufficient to deliver at least 75% of FS Capacity Requirement, in aggregate, from qualifying resources to load

**FS Deficiency Charge:** based on PO estimate of gas-peaker CONE, employing public information; multipliers based on how deficient the region is as a percentage of total regional capacity need.

» Needed to consider application of CONE in two seasons of same year (June -> May), in program with showings on monthly basis.





- » Evaluates participants operational situation relative to Forward Showing assumptions
- » Obligates participants with calculated surplus to assist participants with a calculated deficit on the hours of highest need
- » Surplus Participant that fails to provide assigned Energy Deployment must pay Energy Delivery Failure Charge
  - Waivers will be available for specific circumstances

