Addendum Sheet for Umatilla Subbasin Plan: October 2004

1) We inserted a new paragraph below the section header on page 4-1:
   The following section contains information derived from an inventory questionnaire that was sent to approximately 50 organization tied to Umatilla Subbasin natural resource use and management. The questionnaire and responses are found in Appendix F.

2) We added a footnote to Table 2, section 5, page 5-7 (listed below)

2/ EDT natural production estimates are not objectives but were derived from the PFC analysis in this plan in Section 3.6.1.2.

3) We cut the highlighted portion of the following sentence on page 5-8 (section 5)
   The management strategies to enhance natural production through habitat restoration in order of priority are:

4) We cut the highlighted portion of the following heading on each heading from page 5-15 through 5-37 (section 5).

   Priority Fish Species and Life Stages

5) We added the highlighted portion of the following heading on each page 5-15 through 5-37 (section 5).

   Limiting Factors in Order of Priority:

6) We deleted the highlighted portion of the following heading from pages 5-15 through 5-37 (section 5).

   Management Strategies in Order of Priority (strategies in bold are chosen for this GA):

7) We reorganized, by priority, management strategies for each GA and deleted lower priority strategies on pages 5-15 through 5-37 (section 5).

8) Filled in the table number on Page 5-9, management strategy number 13 (added 45).

9) Spelling correction in first paragraph and added reference to Table 45 in second and third paragraphs of section 5.3.2.4.

10) Section 3.5.1.2, Table 45, we added four dams to the table. Umatilla RM 10.1, 23.7, 27.5, 28.5.

11) Section 5.3.1, Sentence 4, we added “in some areas” just behind “which have received little attention.”
12) Section 5.3.2.3. Second sentence we added the highlighted text to “These are the areas that the EDT and QHA analysis suggests would have the most negative impacts on the focal species if they were allowed to degrade further”.

13) We added contributors in the introduction: Chet Hadley of NRCS, Tara White and Will Cameron of ODFW, and changed “Allen Jackson” to Aaron Jackson”.

14) Section 2.4.2, third paragraph, fifth paragraph. Quantitative and Assessment were changed to Qualitative and Analysis. (“The Qualitative Habitat Analysis Model (QHA) was used to gather similar information for bull trout in the Umatilla River subbasin and redband trout in the Willow Creek subbasin”).

15) Section 3.1.4.6, second to last sentence, we deleted the highlighted text. The Upper Umatilla population includes bull trout in both the North Fork and South Fork Umatilla Rivers, although spawning has only been documented in the North Fork.

16) Section 3.2.3.1, second sentence, we changed upper Meacham to North Fork Meacham. “Focal Species Population Data, Life History, and Distribution In the Umatilla/Willow subbasin they occur in only a limited area in the upper Umatilla River, the North and South Forks, and in North Fork Meacham Creek (Figure 32)”.

17) We cut the entire third paragraph from section 3.2.3.3. “There is also a hatchery facility proposed in the Umatilla Hatchery Supplemental Master Plan. This hatchery would be located at the same site as the existing South Fork Walla Walla adult holding facility. The hatchery would produce additional yearling spring Chinook smolts for the Umatilla River in order to achieve natural production, broodstock and harvest objectives”.

18) In Section 3.2.3.3, under the heading “Summer Steelhead”, second paragraph, third sentence, we changed 1991 to 1994 and Meacham Creek to McKay Creek.

19) In Section 3.5.1.1 second paragraph, third and fourth sentences “EDT prioritizes” was change to “EDT ranks”.

20) In Section 3.5.1.1 third paragraph, second sentences “Habitat Assessment” was changed to “Habitat Analysis.”

21) In Section 3.5.2.3, In Figure Caption 124, “Coha” was changed to “Coho”

22) In Section 5.1, in Figure 1, we added text adjacent to each box in the flow chart.