Tom Karier Chair Washington

Frank L. Cassidy Jr. "Larry" Washington

> **Jim Kempton** Idaho

Judi Danielson Idaho



Joan M. Dukes Vice-Chair Oregon

Melinda S. Eden Oregon

Bruce A. Measure Montana

Rhonda Whiting Montana

May 10, 2006

A Resource Adequacy Standard For the Pacific Northwest



Council Document 2006-05

Tom Karier Chair Washington

Frank L. Cassidy Jr. "Larry" Washington Jim Kempton Idaho

> **Judi Danielson** Idaho



Joan M. Dukes Vice-Chair Oregon

Melinda S. Eden Oregon

Bruce A. Measure Montana

Rhonda Whiting Montana

May 10, 2006

A Resource Adequacy Standard for the Pacific Northwest

The Pacific Northwest Resource Adequacy Forum¹ (Forum) has developed a regional standard to be used for guidance in long-term resource planning. The Council adopts this standard for its own planning process and recommends that other entities in the region incorporate it into their planning efforts. The Council also recommends that this regional standard be submitted to the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) for inclusion in its development of Westwide adequacy standards.

The term "standard" in this context does not mean mandatory compliance nor does it imply an enforcement mechanism. Rather, it is meant to be a gauge used to assess whether the Northwest power supply is adequate in a physical sense, that is, in terms of "keeping the lights on." It can be thought of as the minimum threshold for resource acquisition. However, the Council encourages utility planners to think beyond this minimum (as the Council did in its 5th Power Plan) and consider strategies that also protect against potentially bad economic outcomes.

The regional standard consists of a metric (something that can be measured) and a target (an acceptable value for that metric) for both energy and capacity capabilities of the system. One of these targets will be the limiting constraint for a region or sub-region in the West. For the Northwest, the energy target is most likely the limiting factor.

There remain a number of important and still unresolved issues regarding the capacity metric and target.² For this reason, the Council is not considering a regional capacity standard at this time. The Forum continues to make progress in this area and is expected to complete its work later this year. At that time the Council will consider adopting the Forum's proposal.

The Council believes that the form of the energy metric and the value of the energy target presented in this paper are appropriate. As new information becomes available, underlying assumptions for this regional energy standard will be updated. In fact, the intent is for this process to be dynamic, and the Council recommends that an assessment of the region's resource adequacy be made at least once per year. Details regarding the counting of resources and loads will be completed by the Forum and presented to the Council at a later date.

The Pacific Northwest Regional Energy Standard

The **energy metric** for the Pacific Northwest³ is defined to be the annual average load/resource balance in units of energy (average megawatts⁴), where:

- The load/resource balance is defined as the available average annual energy minus the average annual firm load.
- The resource total is defined as the sum of:
 - the annual energy capability⁵ from all⁶ non-hydro resources⁷ (accounting for maintenance and forced-outage rates and limited by fuel-supply constraints⁸ and/or environmental constraints) plus
 - the hydroelectric-system annual energy based on critical water⁹ conditions plus
 - 1,500 average megawatts of "planning-adjustment" energy¹⁰, which is derived from the currently used 5 percent loss-of-load probability (LOLP) guideline¹¹.
- The load total is defined as the average annual firm load¹² based on average temperature conditions and is adjusted for firm out-of-region energy contract sales and purchases.

The **energy target** for the Pacific Northwest is zero¹³, that is, on an annual basis; resources (as defined above) should at least match the expected annual load.

Endnotes

- 1. The Pacific Northwest Resource Adequacy Forum was created in response to action items ADQ-1 and ADQ-2 in the Council's 5th Power Plan (see <u>www.nwcouncil.org</u>).
- 2. Because the Pacific Northwest power supply is dominated by hydroelectric power, it is more appropriate to consider capacity limitations over more than a single hour (such as is done in non-hydro dominated regions). The Forum is still in the process of assessing the proper period of duration to use for the capacity metric.
- 3. The Pacific Northwest is defined to be the geographical area referenced in the 1980 Northwest Power Act, which includes the states of Oregon, Washington, Idaho and the western part of Montana.
- 4. One average megawatt is equivalent to 8,760 megawatt-hours of energy.
- 5. For in-region resources, the energy capability should be the maximum dispatchable energy adjusted for maintenance and forced outage rates. For out-of-region resources, the energy capability should be that portion of the resource that is contracted to serve regional loads.
- 6. The <u>net</u> annual average energy capability (energy capability minus firm out-of-region contracts) of independent power producer (IPP) resources is included in the assessment as a separate line item. IPP resource status will be reviewed annually by the Council's Natural Gas Advisory Committee, focusing particularly on gas supply and transportation capacity issues.
- 7. This refers to resources that are committed to serve regional load, whether or not they are physically located in the region (also see footnotes 5 and 6).
- 8. For wind resources, the historical annual average energy production should be used. If insufficient historical data is available, then a percentage (yet to be determined) of the nameplate rating will be used to calculate annual energy production. A similar method will be used for other renewable resources.
- 9. For the region, under current operating constraints (including actions listed in NOAA Fisheries' biological opinion), the critical water year is defined by the hydrologic conditions from August 1936 through July 1937. The annual average generation from all hydroelectric facilities in the U.S. (including independent projects and Idaho Power Company's projects) based on these water conditions is to be used in the load/resource balance calculation. Of course, this assumes that Idaho Power Company's load will be included in the tabulation of the average annual load. This is not intended to prejudice any decisions about net requirements in the Regional Dialogue discussions.
- 10. The value used for "planning adjustment" energy is derived from the Genesys model and should be reassessed at least once a year or whenever new resource information is available. This factor represents an adjustment to be made to the load/resource balance

so that when the balance is zero, the associated loss-of-load-probability (LOLP) will be 5 percent. The amount of planning adjustment energy depends on assessments of the availability of out-of-region resources, the amount of hydro flexibility energy available to system operators and on other factors. In the simulation, hydro flexibility energy is used when all other available resources have already been dispatched, including imports from other regions, and loads still are not met. Hydro flexibility energy is defined as that generation derived from drafting reservoirs below their biological opinion refill elevations (winter period) and if necessary below their critical rule curves. Hydro flexibility energy is used to cover needs over a period of hours or days. This type of operation is normal and does not require an "emergency" declaration under the biological opinion from BPA or the region. Hydro flexibility water is replaced as soon as possible and in the majority of cases does not affect refill targets. Hydro flexibility drafts are not intended to be used in lieu of providing an adequate resource supply. The value for outof-region energy currently assumes an hourly market of 3,000 MW available to the Northwest in the winter season (December through March) only. This was judged to be reasonable for current use, based on recent Bonneville assessments of the status of generation in California. The regional "planning-adjustment" energy should not prejudice any individual utility resource-planning decisions.

- 11. The Resource Adequacy Forum is also reviewing the 5 percent LOLP guideline. Any change to this guideline could translate into a different "planning-adjustment" energy value.
- 12. Load is based on a medium forecast and includes all existing and planned conservation measures.
- 13. This will yield a 5 percent LOLP in the Council's regional analysis.

c:\ww\2006\adequacy standard 51006.doc (John Fazio)