

Tom Karier
Chair
Washington

Frank L. Cassidy Jr.
"Larry"
Washington

Jim Kempton
Idaho

W. Bill Booth
Idaho



Joan M. Dukes
Vice-Chair
Oregon

Melinda S. Eden
Oregon

Bruce A. Measure
Montana

Rhonda Whiting
Montana

August 1, 2007

MEMORANDUM

TO: Council

FROM: Jeff King and Terry Morlan

SUBJECT: CO₂ Footprint of the NW Power System

At the July Power Committee and Council meeting, staff presented the results of an analysis of the CO₂ production from the Northwest power system. We have now drafted the attached paper describing the analysis and results in more detail. The attached paper will be edited by the Public Affairs Division before it is sent out for comment. We will describe the findings briefly for the Council.

The analysis includes some very interesting findings and clarifies some important facts about CO₂ trends and how they might be affected by various actions in the region. Some important results include:

- CO₂ production from the Northwest energy system, when adjusted to average hydroelectric conditions increased by 34 percent from 1990 to 2005. Although regional electricity use was nearly the same in these two years, partly due to successful conservation efforts, the region closed the Trojan nuclear plant, reduced the capability of the hydroelectric system, and built additional gas-fired generation during the interim.
- The region's hydroelectric base makes it a relatively low CO₂ emission system. The Pacific Northwest accounts for about 22 percent of the WECC electricity consumption, but only 14 percent of the CO₂ production from power generation.
- The aggressive conservation and renewable resource acquisitions in the Council's Fifth Power Plan are expected to reduce the rate of growth in CO₂ production, but will not eliminate it. Between 2005 and 2024 CO₂ production from power generation in the region is projected to increase by 22 percent.
- The analysis shows how difficult it will be to reduce CO₂ production back to 1990 levels, as some policies have recommended. Analysis of a number of scenarios shows, for example, that achieving the renewable portfolio standard goals and eliminating all summer spill at the dams, would reduce the region's projected increase in CO₂ production by 2024 by less than half, even when counting the resulting net CO₂ reduction in the entire WECC. Failure to achieve the conservation targets in the plan, or removing the Lower Snake dams and replacing the power in a manner consistent with the 5th Power

Plan, could more than offset the potential savings from the scenarios that reduce CO₂ production. The effects of the various scenarios analyzed in the paper have positive or negative effects on CO₂ production that are the equivalent of only 1 to 2 coal plants whereas the forecast regional CO₂ production for 2024 in the Fifth Plan case exceeds 1990 levels by an amount equivalent to nine typical coal units.

- Approximately 85 percent of current CO₂ production from power generation in the region comes from existing coal plants. Achieving reductions of CO₂ production to 2005 or 1990 levels will require replacing some of these existing coal fired-fired power plants with low CO₂-emitting resources.

We will be asking Council permission to distribute the CO₂ Footprint Paper for public comment. We expect that the Power Committee will have a recommendation to the Council regarding that request.

Attachment