

Tom Karier  
Chair  
Washington

Frank L. Cassidy Jr.  
"Larry"  
Washington

Jim Kempton  
Idaho

W. Bill Booth  
Idaho



Joan M. Dukes  
Vice-Chair  
Oregon

Melinda S. Eden  
Oregon

Bruce A. Measure  
Montana

Rhonda Whiting  
Montana

October 4, 2007

## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Fish and Wildlife Committee Members

**FROM:** Mark Fritsch, project implementation manager

**SUBJECT:** Quarterly Review of Within-year Project Funding Adjustments for Implementation

## PROPOSED ACTION

At your meeting on October 16, 2007, Council staff will review the schedule and timeframe associated with the funding adjustment requests for the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007 (see attachment 1). This agenda item will be for review and discussion only and no committee action is requested. After an opportunity for comment, these requests will come back to the committee and Council for a decision at the November meeting.

## BACKGROUND

The Bonneville Power Administration, the Council, and the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority have formed a budget oversight group (BOG) to conduct a budget tracking process. A principle role of the BOG is to validate whether the requests are a reschedule or within-year request (i.e., scope change, budget change, scope/budget change, reschedule, and new request) and to place the within-year requests into one or more of the sorting categories (1-5). Reschedules are forwarded to Bonneville for assessment and funding as funds become available, and within-year requests are also forwarded to Bonneville for recommendation on the availability of funds as identified at the quarterly reviews.

The BOG uses the quarterly reviews to initiate a prioritization process to establish which budget adjustment requests can be met with the available fund in the current fiscal year project budget. This process also includes a public comment period.

On October 4, 2007 the Council received a letter from Bonneville summarizing the within-year requests being considered during the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007 (see Attachment 1).

## **ANALYSIS**

These Fourth Quarter requests, reviewed in July, August, and September, will be treated as an amendment to the Council's start of year budget for Fiscal Year 2008.

Of the 16 requests reviewed by the BOG during the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of Fiscal Year 2007, ten requests will need a decision in November. As outlined in the attached table (see Attachment 2), three requests have been dealt with as emergencies during this quarter. The remaining three requests will not need any action (e.g., deferred) in November.

The requests are categorized by category (1 - 5) per the BOG review. Based on staff review and comment received, the staff will bring to the committee and the Council a recommendation at the August meeting.

**Attachment 1: Letter received on October 4, 2007 from Bonneville regarding within-year funding adjustments associated with the Quarterly Review.**



**Department of Energy**

Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

ENVIRONMENT, FISH AND WILDLIFE

In reply refer to:

Mr. Tony Grover  
Fish and Wildlife Division Director  
Northwest Power and Conservation Council  
851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100  
Portland, OR 97204-1348

Dear Mr. Grover:

In accordance with the Budget Oversight Group (BOG) process, the BOG conducted the fourth quarterly review of within-year budget and/or scope modification requests for the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 07. As a result of that review and subsequent further analysis by BPA staff, the following budget modification requests are submitted to the Council for evaluation and recommendations regarding the funding of these requests. BPA will consider the Council's recommendations and re-assess the status of contract commitments, billings to date, and end-of-year accrual projections before making final decisions to adjust individual project budgets. Currently, BPA does not believe that funding will be a limiting factor for funding within-year requests considered during the fourth quarterly review.

While BPA believes sufficient funds are available to allow these requests to move forward, BPA is concerned about the technical merit and management priority for each request. While BPA staff have assessed the current list of requests and believe they are technically sound, we are seeking further comment and recommendations. In accordance with the BOG process, BPA is also requesting public comment on these requests for the next 14 days.

The BOG process incorporates the use of a set of criteria to rank the project requests. The categorization and ranking scheme is as follows:

**Category**

1. **Emergency** – Acts of God or the unforeseen loss of mechanical infrastructure that necessitates an extraordinary action to avoid the imminent loss of fish and/or wildlife resources or to mitigate serious human health or safety issues.

2. **Endangered Species Act (ESA) Obligation** – A new or ongoing project that addresses actions committed to by the Action Agencies to implement biological opinions. New projects will be reviewed by the Independent Scientific Review Panel (ISRP), BPA, and the Council prior to BPA funding.
3. **Threats to Project Integrity** – Actions necessary for the project, though not of an emergency nature, to avoid the loss of a previous project investment, including major project review (i.e., step review) that would:
  - a. Jeopardize the performance of the entire project; or
  - b. Jeopardize the performance of a discrete task or objective of the project causing:
    - i. Adverse biological consequences to the project; or
    - ii. The loss of monitoring and evaluation data.
4. **Lost Opportunity** – New or ongoing projects that respond to a limited opportunity to benefit the fish and wildlife resource and that opportunity will be permanently lost if the requested budget increase and associated work is not approved. “Lost opportunity” constitutes a highly scrutinized status and does not necessarily constitute an automatic approval.
5. **Other** – Any project not deemed by the BOG to fall into the four categories defined above. The loss of capability to administer the project also falls into this category. Examples of this type of request might include cost-of-living increases or increases in indirect rates. Because these costs should be addressed through project contracting, it is unlikely that these projects would receive a high priority in the quarterly review process.

## **Project Funding Requests**

### **Category 1**

**Status:** Approved by BOG Management (Recommended by the Council at August Meeting).

**Project Number:** 2007-402-00

**Project Name:** Snake River Sockeye Salmon Captive Propagation

**Contractor:** Idaho Department of Fish and Game

**BPA-COTR:** Greg Baesler

**Budget Amount:** \$640,844 in ‘08

**Funding Type:** Capital

Explanation of need: This within-year form requests approval to modify the current IDFG Eagle Fish Hatchery remodel contract (BPA #26374) to reflect the "low bid" construction cost estimate received July 19, 2007. Cost estimates for construction and construction management were developed by the planning and design firm of Montgomery Watson Harza (MWH). To date, \$343,517 of the current contract amount has been obligated to planning, design, and value engineering assessment components of the project. The balance, \$2,909,248, is earmarked for

construction and construction management elements. The low construction bid (received July 19, 2007) is \$3,435,092. Non-contractor costs for construction management, site surveys, and testing are above and beyond this amount and total \$115,000. The \$343,517 previously obligated for planning, design, and value engineering is not included in the low bid estimate as well. Adding these three values, the total projected cost for the project is \$3,893,609. The difference between this amount and the existing contract amount (\$3,252,765) is \$640,844. This is the capital modification requested in this application. A 60 day bid acceptance period was established during which the low bid contractor guarantees the construction estimate. The end date for the bid acceptance period is September 18, 2007

**Status: Approved by BOG Management (Recommended by the Council at August Meeting).**

**Project Number:** 1996-067-00

**Project Name:** Manchester Spring Chinook Captive Broodstock

**Contractor:** NOAA

**BPA-COTR:** Greg Baesler

**Budget Amount:** \$50,000 in 2008 project budget

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: This is an emergency condition resulting from a tree falling on and breaching the Project's main ESA salmon rearing building placing fish in jeopardy. The action will restore the structural integrity of the main building used for the marine rearing of ESA listed Snake River spring chinook salmon by replacing, repairing, and painting damaged beams, girders, roof panels, sidewall panels, and insulation and repair of associated equipment damaged in this incident.

### **Category 3a**

**Project Number:** 1988-115-25

**Project Name:** YKFP Cle Elum Hatchery Well Field Monitoring

**Contractor:** CH2M Hill

**BPA-COTR:** Patty Smith

**Budget Amount:** \$126,500 during 2007-2012

**Funding Type:** Capital

Explanation of need: The State of Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) amended the Cle Elum Hatchery Temporary Permits associated with Water Right Applications in June 2007. The amended permit is to conduct further collection of groundwater data during hatchery operations (long duration well field monitoring) and to authorize beneficial use for continuous fish propagation. Without the additional groundwater data, DOE cannot issue a Final permit to extract groundwater for hatchery operation and thus the option to use groundwater for hatchery operation will be eliminated. This request presents tasks planned over the next five years to comply with the requirements contained in the Amended Temporary Permits. It will result in a groundwater supply required for continued operation of the Cle Elum Hatchery and issuance of the groundwater permits to support those water needs. The aquifer used for hatchery well water has not returned to levels that existed prior to operation of the hatchery wells. The aquifer appears to be stabilizing within predictable levels each year although not at the levels previously observed. Because of ground water level trend uncertainty, DOE finds that additional conditions must be met and that continuous monitoring and reporting is required to demonstrate that

beneficial use under this permit can be managed to achieve the non-consumptive parameters of the application and permit. The duration of the Temporary permit authorization is limited to the life of the facility so long as the property is used for YKFP artificial fish production and associated research or unless sooner revoked.

**Category 3b1**

**Project Number:** 1988-053-08

**Project Name:** Hood River Powerdale Dam / Oak Springs / Pelton Ladder O&M

**Contractor:** Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

**BPA-COTR:** Sarah Branum

**Budget Amount:** \$21,515 in FY08 project budget and \$21,515 in FY09 project budget

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: Spring Chinook salmon production in the Hood River subbasin was proposed and approved by Council and ISRP at 125,000 smolts annually for FY07-09. BPA provided funding at FY 2006 levels; co-managers then chose to reduce Chinook smolt production to 75k for 2008 release. Given current smolt-to-adult return ratios, this may result in a failure to attain escapement and brood collection goals. Co-managers are requesting sufficient funds to restore Chinook production to 125k smolts. ODFW will require an additional \$21,515 added to this project during FY08 and FY09 to produce, mark, and transport a total of 125,000 spring Chinook smolts for release in the Hood River basin. The within year request was mistakenly completed for FY07; the funds requested are needed for FY08 and FY09. The co-managers had hoped for a decision earlier this summer prior to spawning, which has now been completed. Without the requested funding, a portion of the eggs will have to be destroyed. The Hood River Production Program is in the process of revising its Master Plan, and will provide a new document to Council this fall. The co managers prefer not to reduce spring Chinook smolt production, prior to the Production Program review planned by Council and ISRP.

**Project Number:** 1995-033-00

**Project Name:** Yakima Phase II Screen O&M

**Contractor:** Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

**BPA-COTR:** Jonathan McCloud

**Budget Amount:** \$8,877 (added to '06 contract which ended 4/30/2007)

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) is requesting an additional \$8,877 be added to the Yakima Phase II Screen O&M project (1995-033-00, contract 27195) budget to cover O&M work performed on the Toppenish Pump Phase II Screen site.

The Toppenish Pump Phase II Screen site has never been dewatered for a thorough inspection of the underwater infrastructure. In order to dewater the site, stop-log guides and stop-logs needed to be installed so that inspection and maintenance of the complete structure could occur. Once this work began, it became apparent to the BOR that this work was going to take more time and manpower than expected. The work could not be left half finished because the site needed to be fully dewatered and inspected for proper operation. The extra time and man hours needing to complete this work required more money than originally budgeted.

A major flood event occurred in November 2006 that also caused annual/routine maintenance to take longer than expected which led to more of the projects budget to be used at a quicker rate than anticipated.

**Status:** BPA Deferred

**Project Number:** 2005-011-00

**Project Name:** Energy Efficiency

**Contractor:** BPA

**BPA-COTR:** Peter Lofy

**Budget Amount:** \$10,000

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: BPA Energy Efficiency is requesting to augment the budget by \$10,000 to conduct a flow efficiency evaluation of the Colville Hatchery water delivery system to determine the most effective and efficient way to increase the water flow back up to or near the volume as the system was designed. Currently, there are some inefficiencies and flow has been significantly reduced from that required to run the hatchery. After newer pumps (under separate funding) are installed, the whole system will be evaluated. A recommendation will then be provided for the most effective use of the remainder of the Energy Efficiency budget. The evaluation may result in smaller or fewer pumps needing to be repaired/upgraded than if the efficiency study is not completed. BPA Energy Efficiency engineers will do the evaluation.

**Status:** BOG Denied, not scope change.

**Project Number:** 2007-042-00

**Project Name:** UPA Wenatchee Passage Program

**Contractor:** Chelan County Natural Resource Department

**BPA-COTR:** Cecelia Brown

**Budget Amount:** \$0

**Funding Type:** Expense

**Explanation of need:** The original projects that were included in the UPA Upper Wenatchee Passage Program proposal (200704200), including the received funding from another source and have already been constructed. Therefore, the Chelan County Natural Resource Department (CCNRD) would like to allow other fish-passage barrier culvert replacement projects to receive this funding. CCNRD has provided a list of these projects to BPA. They have been ranked in biological priority using information from the Upper Columbia Regional Technical Team Draft Culvert Priority methodology (UCRTT 2006) and the updated Draft Salmon Recovery Implementation Schedule. CCNRD has compiled this extensive list to allow flexibility in project selection. Although the culverts have been listed in a priority order for biological benefit, CCNRD has not completed landowner contacts for all of the listed culverts. If CCNRD is unable to complete a landowner agreement for a culvert identified for replacement, other projects on the list will be considered.

### **Category 3b2**

**Project Number:** 2001-003-00

**Project Name:** Installation and Evaluation of Established PIT-tag Technologies

**Contractor:** Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

**BPA-COTR:** Jan Brady

**Budget Amount:** \$123,895 in 2008 project budget

**Funding Type:** Expense

**Explanation of need:** Upgrade, enhancement and standardize of the transceivers designed for use at Bonneville Dam Powerhouse-2 Corner Collector (B2CC) in order to provide maximum accuracy, uptime and reliability of the Bonneville Corner Collector PIT Tag interrogation equipment.

Technical issues and constraints were first learned about during the current migration season, and were unknown when original project was scoped and planned.



**Project Number:** 2002-053-00

**Project Name:** Assess Salmonids in the Asotin Creek Watershed

**Contractor:** Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife

**BPA-COTR:** Roy Beaty

**Budget Amount:** \$113,437 in 2008

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: The sponsor (WDFW) requests reinstatement (continuation) of full funding in FY08, in response to BPA's decision to reduce the projects funding by approximately 50%.

The project has been slated for elimination after partial funding in FY2008. The project is collecting steelhead and salmon population data from Asotin Creek. However the usefulness of the data go beyond simple stock status monitoring. We provide an explanation of how project data can be used as part of a basin wide supplementation evaluation which is a critical unknown for ESA and for the FCRPS Biological Opinion, and argue for reinstitution of full project funding. If the project is discontinued, valuable and highly usable reference population data will be lost and if effective supplementation evaluations are to be achieved, a similar reference stream study will have to be initiated elsewhere. This would constitute a significant data loss and delayed opportunity to begin evaluating supplementation against a reference/control population.

**Status:** \$180,000 Approved by BOG Management (Recommended by the Council at August Meeting).

**Project Number:** 1995-063-25

**Project Name:** YKFP Monitoring & Evaluation

**Contractor:** Yakama Nation

**BPA-COTR:** Patty Smith

**Budget Amount:** \$200,000

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: This is an emergency with-in year request for addition funds to repair a break in the in-flow pipe to the spawning channel. The spawning channel was built to evaluate one component of many that exist in the YKFP M&E plan. It is designed to evaluate spawning behavior and success of multiple generation hatchery returns vs. one generation returns. This experiment was perpetuated and requested by ISRP and approved by CBFWA as a long term study. The YKFP was designed as a long term evaluation to determine all of the impacts (good or bad) supplementation might have within the Yakima River basins ecosystem as a whole. To reduce existing scope of the project would jeopardize the data sets and the ability to monitor subtle changes over time.

#### **Category 4**

**Project Number:** 2002-014-00

**Project Name:** Acquire 35 acres of Yakama River floodplain habitat

**Contractor:** Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

**BPA-COTR:** Joe DeHerrera

**Budget Amount:** \$47,200 in 2008

**Funding Type:** Capital

Explanation of need: The property to be acquired is the last in holding within a 2,800 acre management unit. Acquisition will complete continuous public ownership of 13 miles of river frontage and assure no future fragmentation of riparian habitat occurs. Due to location within a river bend, the 35 acres provide one mile of shoreline habitat. Acquisition will provide additional HU's in the riparian forest habitat type, which is severely limiting within the subbasin.

The request does not indicate the dam which the mitigation would be applied. It is anticipated that WDFW and the Regional HEP Team will provide a crediting status report to BPA in October 2007. BPA's support of this acquisition is contingent upon available habitat units.

**Status:** Request withdrawn

**Project Number:** 2007-325-00

**Project Name:** UPA Wenatchee Subbasin Complexity Programmatic

**Funding Category:** FY 2007 Within-Year Ongoing

**Contractor:** Chelan County Natural Resource Department

**BPA-COTR:** Cecelia Brown

**Budget Amount:** \$0

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: Current cost estimates for these projects are higher than were originally estimated for this project. No cost increase is requested for 2007; however, they have requested additional funds for 2008 and 2009. In addition, CCNRD wants to add a project in the Entiat subbasin (Harrison Side Channel) to the scope of this project. BPA has requested justification for this scope change.

#### **Category 5**

**Project Number:** 2007-407-00

**Project Name:** Regional Coordination for Upper Snake River Tribes

**Contractor:** Compact of the Upper Snake River Tribes (USRT)

**BPA-COTR:** David Byrnes

**Budget Amount:** \$160,659 in 2008

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: Two distinct sets of work being proposed here: 1) External regional coordination and participation, outreach, "clearinghouse" and facilitation services for members, technical reviews, etc – activities similar to those being currently performed by the five entities with FY07 regional coordination contracts (CBFWA, CRITFC, UCUT, Kalispell Tribe, and Spokane Tribe). 2) Work to hire an executive director, set up an office, etc – basically, work to prepare the infrastructure that could eventually support the activities under #1 above. (See section "9g. Facilities and equipment" of the project narrative document.)

**Project Number:** 1998-004-01

**Project Name:** Columbia Basin Bulletin

**Contractor:** Intermountain Communications

**BPA-COTR:** Molly Moreland

**Budget Amount:** \$25,000 in 2008

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: BPA's decision document put the CBB for FY 2008 at \$100,000. The NPCC in June 2007 approved a cost-share of \$15,000 for FY 2007. Some of that \$15,000 will be used to supplement the remainder of the FY 2007 months and some will carryover into use for FY 2008. The requester maintains that entering into FY2008 at this budget level jeopardizes the ability of the CBB to continue to serve stakeholders at current level, and reduces the ability to provide complete information about the Amendment process and the new FCRPS Biological Opinion, many other important on-going issues and processes being tracked by stakeholders across the Basin.

**Project Number:** 2003-023-00

**Project Name:** Chief Joseph Hatchery Program

**Contractor:** Colville Confederated Tribes

**BPA-COTR:** Linda Hermeston

**Budget Amount:** \$4,000 in '07 project budget, \$61,389 in '08 project budget, \$122,778 in '09 project budget, and \$61,389 in '10 project budget.

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: The Chief Joseph Hatchery program will be used to introduce extirpated spring Chinook salmon to historical habitats in the Okanogan River and increase the abundance, distribution, diversity and productivity of naturally spawning populations of summer/fall Chinook in the Okanogan River and in the Columbia River above Wells dam for the purpose of providing a tribal ceremonial and subsistence fishery as well as assisting BPA in meeting its FCRPS mitigation responsibilities. The Chief Joseph Hatchery Facility will provide the CCT with tribal employment opportunities, especially the salmon culturist positions required at the facility. Currently there are not enough experienced tribal member salmon culturists available in the CCT workforce to meet the needs required at this new hatchery facility. In order to meet this need, the CCT has developed a draft training plan that should provide well trained tribal salmon culturists to be ready to meet the salmon culture requirement of this hatchery facility in a timely manner.

The within year request for '07 is for \$4,000 (\$2,000/month for 2 students) to cover a stipend and living expenses for the month of September and also requested funds in the amount of \$61,389 in FY08 (2-students), \$122,778 in FY09 (4-students) and \$61,389 for FY10 (2-students). The CCT will cost share by covering the cost of books and tuition. The tribe is also separately pursuing a grant to assist covering 2008 funding. During the BOG discussions Council staff was not supportive of this proposal stating that BPA did not yet have a regional policy for consideration of training needs for hatchery workers. It was noted that BPA has previously funded successful hatchery training programs for other Tribal hatchery workers, for example at the Nez Perce and Yakama Hatcheries.

**Project Number:** 1988-053-01

**Project Name:** Grande Ronde/Imnaha Endemic Spring Chinook Supplementation-NEOH

**Contractor:** Nez Perce Tribe

**BPA-COTR:** Ken Kirkman

**Budget Amount:** \$6,981 in '07

**Funding Type:** Expense

Explanation of need: As part of managing and administering this project (#119), we propose to maintain the BPA property on Granger Road and implement the General Road Maintenance Agreement for Granger Road between BPA and Wallowa County. The buildings and grounds were purchased by BPA as future components of the Lostine River Hatchery facility and are part of the final design planning (#170). However, the vacant buildings have not been maintained in the past and are in need of repair. We seek funding to repair plumbing problems and associated damage in both the main house and guest house. Both the wooden batter board siding and wood deck are in a neglected condition. We request funds to clean, stain and seal these wood structures. The surrounding grounds are overgrown with high grass and weeds. This dry plant material has created a severe fire hazard to the BPA property as well as to other neighborhood homes. We seek funding to maintain a greenbelt lawn around the buildings. The unimproved access road (Granger Rd.) leading to the BPA house is maintained by the Nez Perce Tribe through agreement with BPA and Wallowa County. We request funds to implement the agreement and participate with the neighbors in the maintenance of the road.

### Summary

In summary, the total of expense funding requested in this letter is \$981,435; the total of capital funding requested is \$173,700. We believe these project funding requests and rationale are consistent with priorities identified during the Council's previous provincial reviews and/or other BPA and Council discussions.

Sincerely,

 for

William C. Maslen  
Director of Fish and Wildlife

cc: (Electronic mail)

Mr. Mark Fritsch, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Ms. Patty O'Toole, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Mr. Karl Weist, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Ms. Stacy Horton, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Ms. Joann Hunt, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Mr. Kerry Berg, Northwest Power and Conservation Council

Mr. Brian Lipscomb, Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority

Mr. Tom Iverson, Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority

Mr. Binh Quan, Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority

**Attachment 2. Within-year project funding adjustments received as part of the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2007.**

Category	Project #	Project Title	Sponsor	FY 2008 Budget (BPA)	Request	Status
<b>1</b>						
	1996-067-00	Manchester Spring Chinook Captive Broodstock	NOAA	\$636,326	\$50,000	Approved, 8-14-07
	2007-402-00	Snake River Sockeye Salmon Captive Propagation	IDFG	\$2,909,248 <sup>1</sup> (capital)	\$640,844 (capital)	Approved, 8-14-07
<b>3a</b>						
	1988-115-25	YKFP Design and Construction	BPA	\$0	\$126,500 (capital)	November Decision
<b>3b1</b>						
	1995-033-00	Yakima Phase II Screen O&M	BOR	\$96,000	\$8,877	November Decision
	1988-053-08	Hood River Powerdale Dam / Oak Springs / Pelton Ladder O&M	ODFW / CTWSRO	\$562,860	\$21,515	November Decision
<b>3b2</b>						
	1995-063-25	YKFP Monitoring and Evaluation	YN	\$4,100,251	\$180,000	Approved, 7-18-07
	2002-053-00	Assess Salmonids in the Asotin Creek Watershed	WDFW	\$100,000	\$113,437	November Decision
	2001-003-00	Adult Pit Detector Installation	PSMFC	\$245,491	\$123,895	November Decision
	2005-011-00	Federally Funded Hatchery Energy Efficiency Improvements	BPA	\$0	\$10,000	BPA Deferred
<b>4</b>						
	2007-325-00	UPA Wenatchee Subbasin Complexity Programmatic	Chelan County	\$1,684,900	\$0, Scope	Request was withdrawn
	2007-042-00	UPA Upper Wenatchee Passage Program	Chelan County	\$400,950	\$0, Scope	BOG denied, not a scope change
	2002-014-00	Sunnyside Wildlife Mitigation	WDFW	\$199,750	\$47,200	November Decision
<b>5</b>						
	1988-053-01	Grande Ronde/Imnaha Endemic Spring Chinook Supplementation - Northeast Oregon Hatchery	BPA/NPT	\$16,462,30 <sup>1</sup> (capital)	\$6,981	November Decision
	2007-407-00	Regional Coordination for Upper Snake River Tribes	USRT	New Project	\$160,659	November Decision
	2003-023-00	Chief Joseph Hatchery Program	CCT	\$16,313,000 (capital)	\$61,389	November Decision
	1998-004-01	Columbia Basin Bulletin	CCB	\$100,000	\$25,000	November Decision

w:\mf\ww\fy2007\requests\100407quarterlybogcovermemo.doc

<sup>1</sup> Total approved capital budget to date.