W. Bill Booth Chair Idaho

James A. Yost Idaho

Tom Karier Washington

Dick Wallace Washington



Bruce A. Measure Vice-Chair Montana

Rhonda Whiting Montana

Melinda S. Eden Oregon

Joan M. Dukes Oregon

April 30, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO: Fish and Wildlife Committee members

FROM: Patty O'Toole, Program Implementation Manager

SUBJECT: Multi-year action plans

The staff is continuing to develop a working concept for the multi-year actions plans, called for in the 2009 Fish and Wildlife Program. At the March meeting the staff discussed with committee, some principles for development of the actions plans and staff agreed to develop a template for the plans, and a few example plans (see separate hand-outs). At the May meeting staff will review the example action plans, along with some further considerations for completing the actions throughout the basin.

The 2009 Fish and Wildlife Program calls for the Council to work with recommending entities, Bonneville and others to shape the measures recommended for all areas of the Program into multi-year action plans similar to those implementation plans in the 2008 Biological Opinion and the Accords. The Council will then work with Bonneville and relevant entities to estimate multi-year implementation budgets and secure funding commitments that ensure adequate funding for these action plans.

503-222-5161 800-452-5161 Fax: 503-820-2370

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Estuary, Lower Columbia Mainstem	1		<u> </u>		_	1			, ,				T	
Limiting factor, Bi-state plan: Manage columbia River fisheries at sustainable levels, maintaining a viable population through adequate spawner abundance and directing harvest away from depressed stocks. Physcial objective: Protect genetic integrity and biological diversity and abundance of depressed salmonid stocks by directing effort of commercial harvesters in the estuary to alternative, hatchery derived stocks. From LCFRB plan: Preserve fishery opportunity focused on hatchery fish and strong natural-spawning stocks in a manner that does not adversely affect recovery efforts.	Mainstem Lower Columbia River and Columbia River Estuary Subbasin Plan (SBP, page 6-35, F.S.2) and The LCFRB Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan, 3	199306000	Select Area Fisheries Enhancement Project			E	\$ 1,800,000	\$1,842,200	\$1,890,300	\$2,089,083	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$
Assess the mainstem, lower columbia river and estuary by discrete geographic reaches for restoration and protection priorities, and develop approach for determining expected outcomes of RM&E activities. // River flow, channel alterations and habitat disconnection	Mainstem Lower Columbia River and Columbia River Estuary Subbasin Plan. The LCFRB Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan, 3-27, 3-32,	200500100	Pilot Study for Research, Monitoring, and Evaluation of Subyearling Salmon in Tidal Freshwater of the Columbia River	Need to determine future of pilot study.	BiOp RPA 58	E	\$ 700,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$552,580	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. \$ -
Channel Alterations and habitat disconnection, river flow	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead, 3-32, 3-27	200301000	Historic Habitat Opportunities and Food-Web Linkages of Juvenile Salmon in the Columbia river Estuary and Their Implications for Managing River Flows and Restoring Estuarine Habitat		BiOp RPA 58, 59	E	428000	\$756,971	\$756,971	\$836,574	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. \$

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Availability of preferred habitat (i.e. shallow water, low velocity, peripheral habitats). Microdetritus-based food web. The current microdetritus-based food web is expected to be less productive than the historical macrodetritus-based food web. Loss of habitat connectivity. Areas of adjacent habitat types distributed across the estuarine salinity gradient may be necessary to support annual migrations of juvenile salmonids. Contaminant exposure. Density dependence. Density dependent mechanisms in the lower mainstem, Western Oregon tributaries, estuary, and plume may limit juvenile salmonid survival and productivity, however, the significance is unclear.	Mainstem Lower Columbia River and Columbia River Estuary Subbasin Plan, Limiting Factors 1.2.3.5.7.		Lower Columbia River and Estuary Ecosystem Monitoring		BiOp RPA 58, 59	E/LC	\$ 975,000	\$640.625	\$656,641	\$413,895.09		s -	\$	6
Harvest, habitat degradation, changes in flow regimes, riverbed movement and silations (Johnson et al. 1997 - project narrative)	The LCFRB Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan		Chum Salmon Enhancement in the Lower Columbia River, Development of an Integrated Strategy to Implement Habitat Restoration, Reintroduction and Hatchery Supplemenation in the Tributaries below Bonneville Dam.	Is this same as 2007/15000?		E/LC	\$265.082		\$000,041	3413,895.09	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Availability of preferred habitat (i.e. shallow water, low velocity, peripheral habitats); Microdetritus-based food web. The current microdetritus-based food web is expected to be less productive than the historical macrodetritus-based food web; Loss of habitat connectivity; Density dependence; Migration barriers/ lack of resting habitats.	Mainstem Lower Columbia River and Columbia River Estuary Subbasin Plan	200301100	Columbia R/Estuary Habitat	May be covered by overall BPA habitat commitment - will this project continue??	BiOp RPA 58, 60									
Lack of floodplain connectivity and side channel development due to flood control measures and channelization reduced channel habitat complexity and access to historic habitats. Inadequate summer rearing flows. Teir 1 reach: where recovery measures will yield the greatest benefits towards accomplishing the biological objectives.			Grays River Watershed Restoration	Grays River Watershed Restoration			\$ 400,000	\$410,000	\$420,250	\$464,443.24				

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)		Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
	The LCFRB Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan (chapter 7, M&E)	200715000	Expand Salmonid Monitoring in Grays River to Meet Monitoring Needs Identified in the Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Subbasin Plan and maintain an at risk Chum Salmon Pop. through Supplementation.	chum work usually in estuary. Need to discuss outyear budget estimates with recommending	ВіОр	E/LC		\$1,398,142	\$1,433,096		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Educate landowners about the ecosystems benefits of intact reiparian areas and the costs of degraded riparian areas.	Budget estimate from estuary module, chapter 5, no 2.5% cola included in this estimate.	?	E		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Encourage and provide incentives for local, state and federal requlatory entities to maintain improve (where needed), and enforce consistent riparian area protections throughout the lower Columbia region	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	?	E		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$ -	\$ -	- \$	\$

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	nation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective,		Identification number or BPA Project Number	Action/work description or	Staff comment-			BPA FY09	Recommende	d by entity:	FY12-18	BPA CAP			CAP FY 12-
strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	(FY08)	BPA Project Title	draft	BiOp?	Location	Expense	FY10	FY11	Average	FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	18 AVG
Water temperature, reduced macrodetrial inputs, and exotic plants.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 1-3	Actively purchase riparian areas from willing landowners in urban and rural settings when the riparian areas cannot be effectively protected through regulation or volumtary or incentive programs and (1) are intact, or (2) are degraded but have good restoration potential.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	BiOp RPA 37	E		\$625,000	\$625,000	\$625,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Water temperature, reduced macrodetrial inputs, and exotic plants.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 1-4	Restore and maintain ecological benefits in reiparian areas; this includes managing vegetation on dikes and levees to enhance ecological function.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	BiOp RPA 37	E		\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 9-1	Educate landowners about the ecosystem benefits of protecting and stewarding intact off-channel areas and the costs of resotring degraded areas.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	?	E		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 9-2	Encourage and provide incentives for local, state, and federal regulatory entities to maintain, improve (where needed), and enforce consistent riparian area protections throughout the lower Columbia region.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	?	E		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Reduced macrodetrial inputs, sediment/nutrient- related estuary habitat changes, bankfull elevation changes, sediment/nutrient related plume changes, exotic plants.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 9-3	Actively purchase off-channel habitats in urban and rural settings that (1) cannot be effectively protected through regulation, (2) are degraded but have good restoration potential, or (3) are highly degraded but could benefit from long-term restoration solutions.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	BiOp RPA 37	E		\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$
Reduced macrodetrital inputs, sediment/nutrient- related estuary habitat changes, bankfull elevation changes, sediement/nutrient related	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 10-1	Breach or lower the elevation of dikes and levees; create and/or restore tidal marshes, shallow-water habitats, and tide channels.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non	BiOp RPA 37				\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment-	BiOn?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Reduced macrodetrital inputs, sediment/nutrient- related estuary habitat changes, bankfull elevation changes, sediement/nutrient related plume changes, exotic plants	Columbai River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	•	Remove tide gates to improve the hydrology between wetlands and the channel and to provide juveniles with physical access to off-channel habitat; use a habitat connectivity index to prioritize	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	BiOpR PA 37	E	3.170.100	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Reduced macrodetrital inputs, sediment/nutrient- related estuary habitat changes, bankfull elevation changes, sediement/nutrient related plume changes, exotic plants	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Upgrade tide gates where (1) no other options exist, (2) upgradd structures can provide appropriate access for juveniles, and (3) ecosystem function would be improved over current conditions.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	RPA 37	E		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Identify non-permitted point- source pollutant discharge sites and take enforcement action where necessary.	Budget estimate from estuary module, non 2.5% cola included.	?	E		\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Provide cost-share incentives for National Polution Discharge Elimination System permit holders to upgrade effluent above their permit requirements	Will BPA fund?	?	E					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Study and establish threshold treatment standards for pharmaceuticals and other unregulated substance discharges; update existing NPDES permits to reflect the new standards.	Will BPA fund?	?	E .					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Provide grants and low-cost loans to permit holders required to treat effluent to standards established in No. 3.	Will BPA fund?	?	E					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	nation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	d by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Implement contamination monitoring recommendations identified in the Federal Columbia River Estuary Research, Monitoring, and Evaluation Program (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 2006)	Cost TBD	?	E, LC					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Develop criteria and a process for evaluating contaminated sites to establish their restoration potential.	Module: \$500/yr, estimate BPA share @ 10%	?	E		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	· ·	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Develop an integrated multi- state funding strategy to address contamination cleanup in the estuary from non- identifiable upstream sources.	No estuary cost identified for this element in module	?	E					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Short-term toxicity and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Restore those contaminated sites that will yield the greatest ecological and economic benefits.	Module: 20 yrs @\$3m/yr so went with 50% for BPA share estimate	?	E		\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment/nutrient related estuary habitat changes, sediment/nutrient-related plume changes, exotic fish, native birds, and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Inventory, assess and evaluate in-channel pile dikes for their economic value and their impact on estuary ecosystem; develop criteria for establishing project priority.	2007-2009 only, 1 plan produced	BiOp RPA 38	E					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment/nutrient related estuary habitat changes, sediment/nutrient-related plume changes, exotic fish, native birds, and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 8-2	Remove priority pilings and pile dikes.	25 yrs @1.2 m /yr	BiOp RPA 38	E		\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sediment/nutrient related estuary habitat changes, sediment/nutrient-related plume changes, exotic fish, native birds, and bioaccumulation toxicity.	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		Monitor the physical and biological effects of pile dike removal.	10 yrs @\$25k /yr, start 2010	BiOp RPA 60	E		\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation			Monitor tern population 25 years @\$100,000/yr. BPA has funded in the past, probably not speculative, include as BiOp		RPA 45, 66	E		\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget informa	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment-	BiOn?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	d by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Various	Proposed Action, Biological Opinion Proposed Action, Biological		Columbia R/Estuary Habitat	BiOp placeholder existing work budget estimate from proposed action Placeholder from	ВіОр	E	\$ 3,000,000	\$2,000,000		\$3,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Various	Opinion		New, now incorporated above	proposed action	BiOp	E		\$1.500.000	\$1,500,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estuary MOA (WDFW)			,	<u> </u>				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,		•		ı ·	
Estuary: reduced off channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced	WDFW Estuary MOA		Abernathy Tidal Restoration					\$300,000						
(flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced spawning and rearing habitat availability for chum, coho and Chinook. Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced	WDFW Estuary MOA		Germany Tidal Restoration					\$350,000	\$287,000					
macrodetrital inputs); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced offchannel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced spawning and rearing habitat availability	WDFW Estuary MOA		Lower Kalama Tidal Restoration					\$200,000						

Needs statement		Administrative in	nformation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)		Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient related plume opportunity); food source changes (reducedmacrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced spawning and rearing habitat availability.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Acquisition of Chaney Parvel at Wood's Landing and Restoration of Chum Salmon Spawning Tributary					\$800,000	\$461,250					
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient-related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs)	WDFW Estuary MOA		Ft Columbia Tidal Reconnection			E		\$1,000,000						
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced inchannel habitat opportunity (flow-related and sediment/nutient-related estuary habitat changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrientrelated plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced madrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; piling and predatory fish/bird habitat.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Fish-Hump Island Restoration					\$500,000						
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced offchannel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced rearing habitat availability.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Paradise Point Wetland Enhancement					\$400,000						

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective,		Identification number or BPA Project Number		Staff comment-			Ť	Recommende	d by entity:	FY12-18	BPA CAP			CAP FY 12-
strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	(FY08)	BPA Project Title	draft	BiOp?	Location	Expense	FY10	FY11	Average	FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	18 AVG
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat oppotunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity sediment/nutrient-related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; reduced														
rearing habitat availability.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Austin Point LWD Complexing					\$200,000						
	WDFW Estuary MOA		Elochoman Tidal Restoration			E		\$500,000	\$102,500					
Estuary MOA (WDFW) projects to be scoped in 2010.														
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient-related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced reduced madrodetrital inputs); exotic plants.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Willow Grove Tidal Restoration											
Estuary: Reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs).	WDFW Estuary MOA		Shillapoo Wildlife Area/Post Office Lake Setback Levees											
Estuary and Subbasin: reduced adult access/passage.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Duncan Creek Fish Passage Restoration											

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Necus statement		Administrative	iormation .				Budget IIIIOIIII	Recommende	ed by entity:					
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	FY10	FY11	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced inchannel habitat opportunity (flow-related and sediment/nutient - related estuary habitat changes); competition and predation; reduced plume habitat opportunity (flow related and sediment/nutrient-related plume changes; food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; piling and predatory fish/bird habitat.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Pile Dike Removal			E								
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced inchannel habitat opportunity (flow-related and sediment/nutient-related estuary habitat changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (flow related and sediment/nutrient-related plume changes; food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); piling and	WDFW Estuary MOA		Burke Island Hydrology Improvements			_			\$300,000					
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced offchannel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced adult holding habitat; reduced rearing habitat availability.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Lower Washougal Delta Habitat Complexing						\$200,000					
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); water temperature (cold water refuge). Subbasin: reduced offchannel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced adult holding habitat; reduced rearing habitat availability predation.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Lower Kalama Delta Habitat Complexing						\$400,000					

Needs statement		Administrative in	nformation				Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)		Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced plume habitat opportunity (sediment/nutrient-related plume opportunity); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs). Subbasin: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity; reduced access/fish passage; reduced riparian; exotic plants.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Chinook River Estuary Restoration	Est. \$6 million		E								
Estuary: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs) Subbasin: offchannel habitat opportunity; riparian; water temperature (cold water refuge).	WDFW Estuary MOA		Lower Cowlitz Tidal Restoration											
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs). Subbasin: reduced off - channel habitat opportunity; reduced habitat complexity; reduced riparian; water temperature.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Lewis River Acquisition											
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow related and bankfull elevation changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; piling and predatory fish/bird habitat.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Port of Kalama Off-channel wetland Enhancement											
Estuary: reduced off-channel habitat opportunity (flow-related and bankfull elevation changes); reduced inchannel habitat opportunity (flow-related and sediment/nutient-related estuary habitat changes); food source changes (reduced macrodetrital inputs); exotic plants; piling and predatory fish/bird habitat.	WDFW Estuary MOA		Cottonwood/Howard Island Tidal Channel Connection											
Estuary: fish stranding	WDFW Estuary MOA		Barlowe Point Beach Nourishment											
Other related actions in module, probably COE or other funds:														

Needs statement		Administrative in	formation				Budget inform	ation						
Neeus Statement		Auministrative in	iornation		ı		Buuget IIIIOIII	lation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective,		Identification number or BPA Project Number	Action/work description or	Staff comment-			BPA FY09	Recommende	ed by entity:	FY12-18	BPA CAP			CAP FY 12-
strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	(FY08)	BPA Project Title	draft	BiOp?	Location	Expense	FY10	FY11	Average	FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	18 AVG
on anogy or man noon oracomount	1	()	Expand federal and state		э.ор.			1110		7.1.0.0.90		OAI 10	OAI II	
Native pinniped predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead		activities at Bonneville Dam to test non-lethal and potentially lethal methods of reducing pinniped populations throughout the estuary.	is this BPA responsibility or COE.	RPA 49	E		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon		Implement actions likely toreduce pinniped predation on											
Native pinniped predation	and Steelhead	CRE 14-2	adult salmonids	COE?	RPA 49	E		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 16-1	Enhance or create tern nesting habitat at alternative sites in Washington, Oregon and California.	(4.5 mill/project probably COE, USFWS, states funding)	RPA 45	E		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 16-2		Probably COE, USFWS and states	RPA 45	E		\$625,000			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 17-1	Identify, assess and evaluate mthods of reducing double-crested cormorant abundance numbers.	Probably COE, USFWS and states	RPA 46, 67	E		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 17-2	Implement demonstration projects resulting for no 1 above.	Probably COE, USFWS and states	RPA 46	E		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Native bird predation	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon and Steelhead	CRE 17-3 (2013)	Implement project resulting in reduced predation by cormorants.	Probably COE, USFWS and states	RPA 46	E					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Evotio fich	Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon		Initate a planning process to organize technical inormation about shad and identify	Probably COE &		EIC		\$400.000	¢400.000	£400.000	•	•	¢.	•
Exotic fish	and Steelhead Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon		potential control methods. Implement demonstration project to evaluate effective	Probably COE &		E, LC		\$400,000	,	\$400,000		3 -	3 -	\$ -
Exotic fish	and Steelhead Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon		management methods. Implement shad population	Probably COE &		E, LC		\$280,000		\$280,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Exotic fish	and Steelhead Columbia River Estuary ESA Recovery Plan Module for Salmon		management tecniques. Monitor and evaluate	states Probably COE &		E, LC		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Exotic fish	and Steelhead		management techniquest.	states		E, LC			\$50,000	\$50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

								_						
Needs statement		Administrative information					Budget inform	ation						
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft	BiOp?	Location	BPA FY09 Expense	Recommende FY10	d by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Other (estuary workgroup list)														
Other (estuary workgroup list)		1					I							T
			Eelgrass enhancement and restoration in the Columbia River Estuary through innovative site selection and planting techniques	Council rec through 2009 (Innovative)	BiOp RPA 59,60									
Lower Columbia														
Need for biological status monitoring,	The LCFRB Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish and Wildlife Subbasin Plan (chapter 7, M&E)		Adult Coho Salmon Monitoring Proposal for the Lower Columbia Province.	Not currently funded, is coho in lower river a FCRPS responsibility?		LC		\$585,296	\$599,928		s -	\$ -	\$ -	s -
Availability of overwintering habitat identified as the limiting factor for dusky Canada geese and sandhill cranes; nesting habitat for bald eagles and osprey; reestablish and enhance wetland	Mainstem Lower Columbia River and Columbia River Estuary Subbasin Plan: (Limiting Factor MI.LF.1—Volume II Chapter A, page A-190); (Limiting Factors BE.LF.2—Volume II Chapter A, page A-184; and OS.LF.2 Volume II Chapter A, page A-188-89); (Sections 3.7.2.7 and 3.7.2.8—Volume II Chapter A, page 189). (limiting factor CWTD.LF.1, Volume II Chapter A, page185).		Shillapoo Wildlife Area Reintroduction of Chum			LC	\$253,430			\$147,555.09	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Check wdfw subbasin plan		200105300	Salmon into Duncan Creek		ВіОр	LC	\$ 158,033	\$162,291	\$166,349	\$183,841.73	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Consistent with biological monitoring plan as described in the subbasin plan.	Check?	200001200	Evaluate Factors Limiting Columbia River Chum Salmon	Not currently funded.		LC	0	\$0	\$0		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
?	?		Evaluate Spawning of Fall Chinook and Chum Salmon Just Below the Four Lowermos Mainstem Dams	t	ВіОр	LC	\$ 261,074	\$102,500	\$105,063	\$116,111	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Needs statement		Administrative in	Budget inform	ation										
Need statement (Limiting Factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA Project Number (FY08)	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment- draft		Location	BPA FY09	Recommende	d by entity:	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12- 18 AVG
Ocean											\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -
F&W Program 2009, Chapter IV, 2003 F&W Program, No subbasin plan		199801400	Ocean Survival Of Salmonids		BiOp RPA 58,61	0	\$ 2,020,600	\$1,866,115	\$1,912,768	\$2,113,913	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
F&W Program 2009, Chapter IV, 2003 F&W Program, No subbasin plan			Canada-USA Shelf Salmon Survival Study		BiOp RPA 61	0	\$ 434,000	\$444,850	\$455,971	\$252,688.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
F&W Program 2009, Chapter IV, 2003 F&W Program, No subbasin plan		200311400	Acoustic Tracking For Survival		BiOp RPA 61	0	\$ 2,040,515	\$1,230,000	\$1,260,750	\$1,393,329.73	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

E= Estuary, LC = Lower Columbia, O= Ocean

Needs statement	Administrative in	formation			Budget information								
Need statement (Limiting factor, objective, strategy or M&E need statement)	Source	Identification number or BPA	Action/work description or BPA Project Title	Staff comment - draft	BiOP?	BPA FY09 Expense	FY10	FY11	FY12-18 Average	BPA CAP FY09	CAP 10	CAP 11	CAP FY 12-18 AVG
Kootenai Subbasin				ı				T		T			
Impoundment and hydro-operations, physical habitat Alternations, Non native species introduction	Kootenai River Subbasin Plan	198806400	Kootenai River Native Fish Restoration and Conservation Aquaculture		ВіОр	\$ 2,465,200	\$ 10,250,000	\$ 10,250,000	<u>\$ 11,314,082</u>		\$ 7,500,000	\$ 7,500,000	\$ 7,500,000
Impoundment and hydro-operations, physical habitat Alternations, Non native species introduction	Kootenai River Subbasin Plan	199404900	Kootenai River Ecosystem Improvements Project		BiOp, RPA	\$ 1,695,800							
Impoundment and hydro-operations, physical habitat Alternations, Non native species introduction	Kootenai River Subbasin Plan		Restore Natural Recruitment of Kootenai River White Sturgeon		BiOp/Se ttlement Agreem ent	\$ 2,078,120							
Impoundment and hydro-operations, physical habitat Alternations, Non native species introduction	Kootenai River Subbasin Plan	200200800	Reconnect Kootenai River with the historic floodplain		BiOp	\$ 496,350							
Impoundment and hydro-operations, physical habitat Alternations, Non	Kootenai River		Kootenai Floodplain Operational Loss										
native species introduction white sturgeon: evaluating habitat and the biological effects of temperature and water quality related to sturgeon flows. The importance of flows is outlined in one of the strategies of the Subbasin Plan, which includes moving Libby Dam operations 50 percent closer to normative. Burbot: provide flows for burbot	Subbasin Plan Kootenai subbasin plan,		Assessment Kootenai R White Sturgeon Investigations		No BiOp	\$ 700,023 \$ 966,942	\$ 1,180,000	\$ 1,180,000					
years. Bruneau Management Plan: Aquatic objective 9A: Determine the degree of genetic purity of redband trout populations. Clearwater Management Plan: Objective G, Strategy 1: Determin	Bruneau subbasin		Development of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) genetic markers diagnostic between coastal rainbow trout and interior redband trout		No		\$ 25,392						
	Kootenai River												
	Subbasin Plan Kootenai River	199500400	Libby Mitigation Program Secure and Protect Fish Habitat in the Kootenai		?	\$ 843,710	\$ 864,803	\$ 886,423	\$ 967,299				
	Subbasin Plan		Subbasin Mainstern Amendment Monitoring	Note: recommende d for Kootenai and Flathead. Need to decide how to split costs	No No		\$ 554,256	\$ 576,426	\$ 676,413		\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000