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Briefing Purpose

Provide an overview of the CRFM’s Turbine Survival Program and how it supports the Rehabilitation Process.
Turbine Survival Program

TSP is an element of the CRFM Program; established to address NMFSs 1995 Biop measures and NPCC’s request to enhance survival of adult and juvenile salmonids through the Columbia and Snake River Projects. Continues to Support the 2000 Biop

Specifically NMFS’s RPAs # 59, 64, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 111 and NPCC’s Conservation Measure No. 5 (Ref. Turbine Survival Program Technical Report 1997-2003)

Summarized – Improve the operation and design of turbines for safer fish passage.
TSP Support

**TSP Team** - Consists of Engineers and Biologists from the Portland and Walla Walla Districts, the Hydroelectric Design Center (HDC) and the Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC)

With active support from NOAA, USGS and the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

**Funding** – The TSP is funded by the Columbia River Fish Mitigation Program.

Many studies within TSP have also been cost shared with BPA and DOE.
TSP Study Goals

Improve our understanding of the turbine passage environment and the impact of that environment on juvenile fish passage

Optimize turbine operations for safer fish passage

Improve turbine designs for safer fish passage
TSP Take Home Message

• We have a better understanding of the turbine environment and the effects of that environment on juvenile fish than we had 15 years ago.

• Turbines can be a viable passage route for juvenile salmonids (and lamprey??)

• TSP has and will continue to support the operation and rehabilitation of turbines by providing operational and design guidance.
Turbine Survival

• Survival of juvenile salmonids passing through turbines has generally been considered to be between 85 and 95 percent.

• Survival estimates range from below 70 percent up to 100 percent.

• Survival has been estimated for both “direct” passage and “total” passage.
Direct Survival

- Estimated from intake releases with immediate recapture using HiZ tag methods.
- Provides estimates of injury and mortality caused by strike and shear forces.
- Estimates for a specific unit and operation(s)
- Does not fully account for pressure related injuries or immediate tailrace predation.
### McNary Direct Turbine Survival

#### Test Dates & Target Operating Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Dates</th>
<th>April 4 - 20, 2002</th>
<th>May 7 - 30, 2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 9 Flow @~72.5 ft Head (cfs)</td>
<td>7700 12000 13400 16600</td>
<td>12000 16600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Turbine Survival</td>
<td>0.94 0.96 0.98 0.95</td>
<td>0.93 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower End of 95% Conf. Interval</td>
<td>0.91 0.93 0.96 0.93</td>
<td>0.90 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper End of 95% Conf. Interval</td>
<td>0.98 0.98 1.00 0.96</td>
<td>0.97 0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of Treatment Fish (#) | 350 360 270 360 391 390 |
| Approx. Fish Length (mm) | 155 140 |

#### Reference:
Total Survival

• Estimated from an upstream release to a downstream detection using telemetry
• Includes direct and indirect causes of mortality resulting from:
  – Strike and shear forces
  – abrupt changes in pressure
  – turbulent and disorienting flow, and
  – predation as a result of turbine passage.
## John Day 2008 Turbine Survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish Studied</th>
<th>2008 John Day Dam Fish Passage Survival Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile Steelhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Range for Passage</td>
<td>4/30/08 - 5/27/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Treatment Fish detected downstream (#)</td>
<td>2,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Turbine Passed *</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reported Turbine Survival - Paired Release</strong></td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. Turbine Passed Fish (#)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Internal Tag Weight in Air (g)</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Fish Weight (g)</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Tag Burden (%)</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. Average Powerhouse Flow (kcfs)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# B1 MGR – 2004 Turbine Survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radio Telemetry W/Direct Intake Release</th>
<th>2004 Bonneville Fish Passage Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile Steelhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Range for Passage</td>
<td>4/29/04 - 6/7/04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powerhouse 1 - MGR treatment released fish</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MGR Turbine Survival - Front Roller Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.952</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MGR Turbine Survival - B2 JBS Outfall Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.926</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Internal Tag Weight in Air (g)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish Weight from LoMo (g)**</td>
<td>102.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Tag Burden (%)</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Powerhouse 1 Flow (kcfs)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Total River Flow (kcfs)</td>
<td>218.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# LoMo 2009 Turbine Survival

## 2009 Lower Monumental Dam Fish Passage Survival Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish Studied</th>
<th>2009 Lower Monumental Dam Fish Passage Survival Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile Steelhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Treatment Fish used in Study (#)</td>
<td>1,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Turbine Passed *</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Turbine Survival - Uniform Spill</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Lower End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Upper End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>1.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Turbine Passed Fish - Uniform Spill (#)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Turbine Survival - Bulk Spill</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Lower End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Upper End of 95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>1.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Turbine Passed Fish - Bulk Spill (#)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Internal Tag Weight in Air (g)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Fish Weight (g)</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Tag Burden (%)</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Average Powerhouse Flow (kcf/s)</td>
<td>68.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Average Total River Flow (kcf/s)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference:
Field Test Limitations

- Sample sizes are too small from in-season project survival studies to adequately estimate survival of turbine passed fish for specific units and unit operation.
- Survival studies of individual unit operations may need to be conducted without spill.
- New test methods and tags must be developed to minimize or eliminate pressure related biases
  - Currently working towards smaller injectable telemetry tags as well as neutrally buoyant externally attached telemetry tags
Evaluating the Turbine Environment

Tools and methods

- Physical hydraulic models
- Computational fluid dynamics
- “Sensor Fish” measurements
- Hyper/hypobarcic laboratory investigations
Physical Hydraulic Models

Investigate strike, shear and exposure to turbulence using high speed digital imaging and LDV (velocity) measurements.

IHR Turbine Model
ERDC - 1:25 Scale
Stay Vanes and Wicket Gates
Near Hub Passage
Near Tip Passage
Comparison of McNary Data
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Severe Bead Strike
McNary and JDA Unit Operations

Turbine Efficiency vs Turbine Discharge

- **McNary**
  - ~ 42 MW
  - ~ 66 MW
  - ~ 88 MW

- **John Day**
  - Generator Limit ~ 155 MW

1% Operating Range
John Day Turbine Model

Lower 1% Operation  Assumed Best Operation
Computational Fluid Dynamics

Derives various flow characteristic including:

- Flow path and velocity
- Pressure
- Turbulence and energy loss.
Sensor Fish

- Measures prototype pressure and acceleration
- Identifies potential for strike, shear and exposure to turbulence
- Supports laboratory pressure investigations, turbine operations and design.
- Validation of CFD and new prototype turbine designs.

Sensor Fish (PNNL)
Carlson, Tom et. al. (2008)
Sensor Fish Results

Comparison of Bonneville Powerhouse 2 Upper 1% Operational Level Mid/Hub Release SF692 (38) and SF635 (36)

Turbine Passage Rate of Change vs Nadir at Ice Harbor Dam
Laboratory Pressure Tests

Hyper/Hypobaric pressure chambers designed to evaluate effects of simulate turbine pressures on juvenile salmonids

Minimum (Nadir) pressures
Pressure rate of change
Acclimation pressures

Benchmarked effects of pressure on tagged and non-tagged fish
Pressure Mortality

LRP = \frac{P_{ACCLIMATION}}{P_{NADIR}}

- LRP = 0.84 (5 ft / 7.4 psia)
- LRP = 0.37 (15 ft / 14.7 psia)
- LRP = 0.23 (25 ft / 22 psia)

Sub Yearling w/ Pit and single battery JSAT TB ~ 3.2%
Yearling w/ Pit and RT TB ~ 5.8%
Yearling w/ Pit and double battery JSAT TB ~ 2.1%
TSP Findings

- The direct mortality and injury of fish passing through turbines due to strike is relatively low 2~4 percent.
- Existing turbine pressures are not as extreme as previously perceived, generally greater than 10 psia.
- Pressure has a greater effect on tagged fish than non-tagged fish.
- Surgically implanted telemetry tags may negatively bias total turbine survival estimates.
TSP Findings

• We can reduce strike and shear related injuries by how we operate and design the turbine units.

• We can improve the “quality of flow” by how we operate the turbine.

• The “1-percent” operating range is not likely the best operating range for all FCRPS turbines.

• Downstream predation likely has the greatest impact on survival of turbine passed fish.

• To fully realize the benefits of turbine improvements, predation in the tailrace must be addressed.
Benefits of TSP

• TSP will help to meet and maintain performance standards through higher turbine survival rates.

• An increase in turbine survival will allow flexibility in managing other passage routes for the benefit of both juvenile salmonids as well as lamprey.

• TSP will continue to investigate and support the design and operation of turbines for safer fish passage.
What’s Next for TSP

• Field verification/testing hypothesis of best operating condition.
  – Develop new or improved test methods
• Evaluate methods of minimizing tailrace predation.
  – Improving both unit, powerhouse and project operations for better egress conditions
  – Consider additional methods of predator control
• Sharing “state-of-knowledge” through outreach to other Stakeholders.
• Continue to support the operation and design of new turbines.
TSP and Turbine Rehabilitation

• Turbine rehab decisions are prioritized on the physical condition, reliability, economic benefits and age of individual turbine units.

• Funding for turbine replacements would be prioritized within the Capital Work Group

• The TSP supports turbine replacements by providing design guidance for safer fish passage but does not direct or prioritize turbine rehabilitations.
Current Status
Runner Replacements
Bonneville First Powerhouse

- 10 units replaced with new “MGR” runners
  - Higher efficiency with less injury to fish
  - Final Commissioning Completed Jan 2011

- Design was specified by the Government and developed by the Contractor. Focus primarily on power but included fish passage improvements
Runner Replacements

McNary Modernization

• Solicitation issued for design, manufacture and installation of new turbine runners. Evaluated and model tested 3 proposed designs having fish passage improvements. Based on proposals received and other economic considerations a contract was not awarded.

• Focus primarily on increased power and efficiency but was to include fish passage benefits. Designs were developed by multiple manufactures but evaluated for fish passage improvements by the Government prior to final selection.
Ice Harbor Units 2 and 3

• Contract awarded March 2010 for design and supply of fixed and adjustable blade turbine runners. Supply of adjustable blade runner is an “Optional” item. Installation 2014-2015.
Ice Harbor Units 2 and 3

- Design focuses on improved fish passage. Contract includes specific fish passage criteria with no criteria for power or efficiency. Uses a collaborative and iterative design approach developed by the TSP.
Questions Comments ???