Resource Adequacy Advisory Committee

Coordinating Resource Analyses with California Entities

Steering Committee Meeting December 6, 2013



Topics

- Goals for coordination
- Who to coordinate with
- Comparison of current adequacy analyses
- Next Steps



)

Goals for Coordination

- Framework for systematic, sound analysis
- Open, transparent process
- Reliable data sources
- Identify and assess uncertainties
- Ongoing relationships and information exchange with entities in California



3

Who to Coordinate With

- California Energy Commission
- California Public Utilities Commission
- California Independent System Operator
- Other California balancing authorities
- California utilities
- Western Electricity Coordinating Council
- Trade publications
- Consultants?



Comparisons of Current Adequacy Assessments

- NERC Pilot Program
- WECC
 - Load and resource annual report
 - Compliance with NERC pilot program
- Council



5

NERC Pilot Program

- Stems from 2005 Energy Act
- Use probabilistic approach
- Standardize metric for measuring
- Does not set a threshold (standard)
- Proposed metrics
 - 1. Loss of load hours = expected number of hours of shortage per year (hours)
 - 2. Expected Unserved Energy = expected amount of unserved load (MW-hours)
 - 3. Normalized EUE



ó

WECC

- Annual loads and resources report
 - Reports <u>target</u> and <u>projected</u> planning reserve margins (surplus peak hour capacity)
 - For 10 years, for both winter and summer
 - For various combinations of planned resources
 - Under normal and adverse weather
- Calculates LOLH and EUE for NERC



WECC L&R Report 2013 "No Problem"														
Summer No	rmal Weather	140	J 1 1	O i		ر ا ر ا	÷	۰	۰	۰	۰	۰	۰	
	Existing/Class 1			40.1%	36.5%	32.2%	29.2%	24.9%	6 22.2	2% 18	.9%	17.6%	17.6%	17.6%
Nanthana IIC	Existing/Class 1/Class	s 2	Target 17.5%	44.5%	45.3%	45.2%	44.6%	42.6%	6 41.2	2% 37	.6%	35.9%	34.7%	31.8%
Northwest US	Existing/Class 1/Clas	ss 1/Class 2/Class 3		44.9%	45.3%	45.2%	44.6%	43.5%	6 41.9	9% 38	.4%	36.0%	34.7%	31.9%
	Existing/Class 1/Class 2/Class 3/Class 4			44.9%	45.3%	45.2%	44.6%	43.6%	6 43.7	7% 40	.1%	37.7%	36.4%	33.6%
Winter Nor	mal Weather													
	Existing/Class 1		Target 19.2%	33.5%	32.4%	30.5%	29.9%	29.3%	6 26.3	1% 23	3.7%	23.1%	21.4%	19.3%
Northwest US	Existing/Class 1/Class 2			32.0%	34.4%	32.9%	33.4%	32.8%	6 30.6	6% 29	0.1%	27.8%	26.1%	23.9%
	Existing/Class 1/Class 2/Class 3			33.9%	34.5%	32.9%	33.4%	33.5%	6 31.4	4% 29	9.9%	28.2%	26.4%	24.3%
	Existing/Class 1/Class 2/Class 3/Class 4			34.0%	34.5%	32.9%	33.5%	33.6%	6 33.7	7% 32	2.2%	30.4%	28.7%	26.5%
Extreme Weather														
Canada/Northwest US/ Northern California	Existing/Class 1/Class 2	Canada/Northwest U California 1-20 Dema Subregions 1-10 (and - Other	Target 15.7%	34.2%	35.5%	36.0%	35.6%	35.2%	35.1%	33.9%	32.3%	30.9%	28.8%
Northwest Power and Conservation Council													8	

WECC's Submittal to NERC "No Problem"						
		2014	2016			
EUE (MWh)		0.00	0.00			
EUE (ppm)		0.00	0.00			
LOLH (hours/year)		0.00	0.00			
Northwest Places and Conservation Council			9			

Council's 2017 Assessment

Metric	Value	Units
LOLP	6.6	Percent
LOLH	2.7	Hours
EUE	5000	MW-hours

Obvious disconnect between Council and WECC assessments!



Next Steps

- Set up framework for coordinated analyses
- Cross check load and resource data
- Review transmission assumptions
- Compare methodologies for both deterministic and probabilistic analyses

