• The original September 2012 briefing included the results of a survey of 24 German PV installers conducted in early 2012

• One of the more surprising results was the extraordinarily low number of installation labor hours reported by survey respondents

• LBNL conducted a follow-up survey of 41 German installers in October 2012, focused solely on installation labor requirements

• The results of the follow-up survey are more in line with expectations (a mean response of 39 man-hours per system for on-site installation labor, compared to 7.5 hours per system in the original survey)

• This revised briefing includes the results of this follow-up survey, as well as a limited number of other updates (including Q3 2012 data on system pricing and market size)
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Motivation, Scope, and Limitations

• The installed price of residential PV is significantly lower in Germany than in the U.S., due primarily to differences in “soft” costs
  – But relatively little is known about how/why soft cost components differ

• In order to better characterize the nature of these differences, LBNL:
  – Fielded two surveys of German PV installers, adapted from NREL’s survey of U.S. installers, to collect data on residential PV soft costs
  – Comprehensively reviewed public and private consultant data relevant to the cost structure of residential PV in Germany

• Focus is the pre-incentive price paid for customer-owned systems
  – Residential PV in Germany is almost entirely customer-owned; substantial third-party ownership in U.S. but pricing sometimes impacted by appraised values

• Analysis here is intended to be a “first cut” and serves to highlight specific areas where further research could reveal additional insights
  – Survey focus was on quantifying differences in specific business process costs
  – Additional research needed to confirm and characterize differences in more detail, as well as to link observed differences to underlying market drivers
Germany’s 2011 Additions ~4x Greater, and Cumulative Additions More than 5x Greater, than United States

Data Sources:
US: IEA and GTM/SEIA; Germany: BNetzA (Federal Grid Agency)
Annual Residential Installations in Germany 2.5x Greater (9.4x Greater on per Capita Basis) than in the United States

Annual residential PV installations

Data Sources:
US: GTM/SEIA; Germany: BNetzA (Federal Grid Agency)
Cumulative Residential Installations in Germany 3.6x Greater (14x on per Capita Basis) than in United States

Cumulative residential PV installations

Data Sources:
US: GTM/SEIA; Germany: BNetzA (Federal Grid Agency)
Varied Data Sources Are Available for U.S. and German PV System Pricing

- **LBNL Tracking the Sun (TTS):** Installed prices for ~70% of PV capacity installed in the U.S. from 1998-2011
- **NREL Cost Modeling Team:** Quarterly bottom-up installed price benchmarks based on interviews with installers and modeling
- **EuPD:** Project-level price quotes collected through quarterly survey of German installers (since 2008); used for BSW price reports
- **Photon, other consultants:** Installed price benchmarks based on interviews with installers or other market research
- **Miscellaneous:** Schaeffer et al., 2004, “Learning from the Sun”; Haas, 2004, “Progress in Markets for Grid-Connected PV Systems in the Built Environment”; Credit Agency for Reconstruction (KfW); IEA National PVPS reports; Langen 2010
Residential PV System Prices Have Often Been Higher in the U.S. Than in Germany

Median Installed Price of Customer-Owned PV Systems ≤10 kW*

Data Sources:

**U.S. System Prices** are derived from LBNL’s TTS dataset and are equal to the median of customer-owned systems ≤10kW installed in each year. **German System Prices** are the averages of individual price quotes in EuPD’s dataset (2008-2011) or the average of prices reported by IEA, Photon, KfW, and Schaeffer (2001-2007). **Module Factory-Gate Prices** are the average of prices reported by IEA, GTM, IRENA, Navigant, and Photon (annual currency exchange rates were used for module prices estimates).

* **Note**: Focusing on systems ≤10kW serves as a proxy for the residential market, as the project-level installed price data for German systems used for this figure do not include host customer type.
Installed Price Gap Was $2.8/W in Q4 2011 and Differential Continued Through 2012

Median Installed Price of Customer-Owned PV Systems ≤10 kW

Data Sources: US: TTS, CSI working database of Dec 5th 2012; Germany: EuPD and BSW

* Note: German system prices are available by quote date, rather than by installation date. However, the average time lag between price quote and installation date is much shorter in Germany than in the US., as described further within the secondary analysis.
Installed Prices in the U.S. Are Also Much More Varied Than in Germany

- Some U.S. systems have reached German prices already
- Greater variation in the U.S. indicative of greater market fragmentation across jurisdictions

Data Sources: US: TTS; Germany: EuPD

*Note: German data come from a quarterly survey of system price quotes from roughly 100 installers, and are thus based on a much smaller sample than the US data and may not reflect the full extent of price variability in the German market.
Learning Curve Analyses of BoS Costs

**Question:** To what extent are lower BoS costs in Germany potentially due to larger overall market scale and associated learning-induced cost reductions?

- Traditional PV learning curve analyses often focus on PV **modules** and relate **global** module production to module prices.
- Some business process costs (e.g., installation labor, customer acquisition) may also be subject to **local** learning effects.
- We compare the relative impact of local BoS learning in the U.S. and Germany based on implied non-module costs for <10 kW PV systems and cumulative national PV capacity installed.
- BoS progress ratios may help predict future U.S. price reductions that accompany larger market scale.
Differences in Market Size Alone *May* Explain Roughly Half of the Price Gap

**Implied Average Annual Non-Module Costs***

vs. Cumulative Capacity:
Customer-Owned Systems ≤10 kW, 2001-2011

- Total non-module costs in 2011 were ~$2.8/W higher in the U.S. than in Germany.
- But, at the same cumulative capacity that the U.S. had installed at the end of 2011 (4 GW), non-module costs for residential PV in Germany were only $1.3/W less than in the U.S.
- One might (crudely) infer that the remaining $1.5/W of the total gap in 2011 non-module costs may be due simply to the larger base of German experience.

**Data Sources:** See slide 9.

*Note:* Implied average annual non-module cost = average annual system price minus global average factory gate module price.
Soft-Cost Learning for <10 kW Systems Occurs More Slowly in the U.S. and Is Less Effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression variable (2001-2011)</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Germany</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global level cumulative installs</td>
<td>Total system prices</td>
<td>PR: 91.7%, R2: 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(all PV systems, not just residential)</td>
<td>Non-module costs</td>
<td>PR: 94.2%, R2: 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country level cumulative installs</td>
<td>Total system prices</td>
<td>PR: 90.4%, R2: 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(all PV systems, not just residential)</td>
<td>Non-module costs</td>
<td>PR: 93.3%, R2: 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:* PR is the Progress Ratio, defined as $2^{\text{slope of line of best fit of log-log plot}}$.

- The development of non-module costs is less correlated with market growth in the US than in Germany (52% vs. 9% explained by other factors)
- The learning rate for non-module costs (proxy for soft costs) is lower in the US than in Germany (7% vs. 15%)
Regular FiT Adjustments Pressure German Installers to Reduce Prices

- BNEF (2012) indicates the presence of value-based pricing in both the US and Germany
- Following this hypothesis, the iterative reduction of the FiT presses German installers to lower system prices to maintain attractive investments for their customers
- Similar forces may operate less efficiently in the U.S., yielding higher “value-based” prices, even for customer-owned systems

Hypotheses Explored for Why German and U.S. Residential PV Prices Differ

• General:
  – Residential systems are larger in Germany → yes
  – US installers develop projects more slowly → yes (semi-addressed)
  – US installers have higher profit margins, after recovering all overhead expenses → uncertain (semi-addressed)

• Component costs:
  – Hardware component costs are lower in Germany → possibly true for inverters, but uncertain (semi-addressed)
  – US has a lower share of cheaper Chinese modules → no

• Customer acquisition:
  – US installers have higher customer acquisition costs → yes
  – US installers have lower customer success rates → yes
  – US installers have higher marketing and advertising costs → yes

• Installation labor:
  – US installers need longer for the installation process → yes
  – US installers have higher wages → yes for installation labor, no for other labor (semi-addressed)

• Permitting, Interconnection and Inspection Costs
  – US installers have higher labor hour requirements for PII → yes
  – US has higher permitting and interconnection fees → yes

• Taxes
  – The US charges higher sales taxes on PV systems than Germany → yes
Additional Hypotheses Not Explored Here

- **Overhead costs**
  - US has higher business overhead costs (e.g. insurance costs, material storage costs)
  - German installers have higher sales volume per year, spreading fixed costs over larger denominator and profiting from economies of scale, allowing for volume discounts
  - US installers have higher cost of capital for their own business operations
  - US installers face higher transaction costs associated with arranging financing for customers
  - US has a longer supply chain for PV modules and other hardware

- **Profit margins**
  - US has a lower degree of competition among installers, maintaining higher profit margins
  - Value based pricing allows for higher prices in the US, given better irradiation, high retail rates in some regions, and more generous subsidies

- **Regulatory issues**
  - US requires each panel and rack component to be grounded to the DC switchbox leading to higher material costs and installation labor hours
  - Germany has less onerous requirements for roof mounting structures

- **Installation timing**
  - US systems are installed more steadily throughout the year, whereas German installations were traditionally concentrated at the end of the year when prices are lower, leading to lower annual average prices

- **Exchange rate dynamics are more beneficial for German system costs**
A Small Body of Literature Explores the German-U.S. PV Price Gap

- Few have sought to explain the underlying reasons behind the German-U.S. PV price gap or to quantify differences in specific soft costs
- Possible reasons for the price gap that have been postulated:
  - “Value-based pricing” in the U.S. (e.g., associated with more generous subsidies and/or less competition among installers)
  - Preference for premium products in the U.S.
  - Lower customer-acquisition costs in Germany due to simpler/more certain value proposition (FiT), critical mass of demand, and economies of scale
  - Lower installation labor costs in Germany due to greater experience and economies of scale
  - Lower permitting costs in Germany due to fewer requirements and greater standardization
  - Less onerous electrical requirements and interconnection processes in Germany
- Our analysis complements that literature by:
  - Deriving estimates for specific business process costs via two surveys of German residential installers
  - Using large samples of system prices to compare price developments and distributions
  - Estimating the impact of differences in project development times on reported prices
  - Analyzing residential module market composition
- Complements NREL cost modeling team’s in-depth interviews with installers
Survey Results
LBNL Surveys of German PV Installers

• Overview of survey approach (original and follow-up surveys)
• Sample characterization
• Survey respondents’ build-up of installed price
• Individual business process costs (with comparisons to NREL survey of U.S. installers*)
  – Customer acquisition costs
  – Permitting, interconnection and inspection
  – Installation labor costs
• Sales/value-added tax for PV

* Note a slight temporal misalignment, as the NREL survey of U.S. installers focused on 2010 installations, whereas the LBNL surveys of German installers focused primarily on 2011/2012 installations.
Overview of Initial Survey Approach

- German survey focuses on standard DOE soft cost categories:
  - Customer acquisition
  - Permitting, interconnection, inspection
  - Installation labor
- Adapted from NREL survey of U.S. installers to allow comparisons
  - Average labor hours per system for PII and installation
  - Total annual expenditures on customer acquisition
- Survey fielded in early 2012
- Respondents asked about costs of residential systems installed in 2011
- Survey instrument, written in German, distributed by email to 300 German residential installers and fielded online via [www.photovoltaikstudie.de](http://www.photovoltaikstudie.de)

### Installer Survey Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Germany 2011</th>
<th>U.S. 2010*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential installers</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential systems</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>6038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential capacity [kW]</td>
<td>17,819</td>
<td>34,396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sample sizes shown for U.S. 2010 refer to analysis by Ardani et al. 2012
Follow-Up Survey on German Installation Labor Hours

• LBNL conducted a second survey of German installers in October 2012, focused solely on installation labor requirements

• The survey asked 7 questions about German residential PV installations completed during the preceding 12 months.

• Survey was fielded online (between October 9th and November 5th 2012) in German in collaboration with www.photovoltaikstudie.de

• Participation requests were also sent to previous German soft-BoS survey respondents, a list of 300 German residential PV installers and a range of industry experts for further distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>German Survey 2012</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential installers</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential systems</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential capacity [kW]</td>
<td>11,924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notaion for Referencing German Surveys throughout Remainder of Analysis:

Original German BoS survey on systems installed in 2011 ➔ “German Survey 2011”
Follow-up German labor survey on systems installed Oct. 2011-Sept. 2012 ➔ “German Survey 2012”
Most respondents in both surveys are small volume installers
- Most installed <50 systems per 12-month period
- Median installations/yr = 25 for 2011, 26 for 2012

Average system sizes are a bit smaller in 2012 German survey
- Average of 6 kW per system (compared to 8 kW in German 2011 survey*)
- Less variation in average system size
Total Soft BoS Costs + Profit Represent Roughly $0.62/W or 20% of System Price

Residential PV System Price Build-Up Reported by German Installers
(Averages* and 25th/75th Percentiles for Systems Installed in 2011)

* Notes: Survey results are summarized in terms of the average of responses across survey respondents, weighted by each respondent’s reported 2011 residential capacity installed. This chart summarizes responses to the survey question asking installers to identify the average price of residential systems sold in 2011, and to allocate that price across the categories identified along the x-axis. Due to the revised installation labor cost estimates based on the second survey, there is a slight misalignment between the category “other project costs” and the sum, reported later, of PII, direct customer acquisition cost and labor installation costs.
Survey Responses Are Generally Consistent with Estimates Reported Elsewhere

Comparison of Survey Responses to Other Estimates for Residential PV in Germany

Note: “Other Overhead” is the sum of customer acquisition costs, PII and additional overhead. “Total Soft BoS” is the sum of customer acquisition costs, installation labor, PII, overhead, and profit.
Total soft costs for residential PV in Germany, including margin, are just 19% of the implied soft costs for U.S. residential PV ($0.62/W vs. $3.34/W)

*Note:* US module and inverter prices are based on average factory gate prices for Q4 2010-Q3 2011 as reported by GTM/SEIA with an adder of 10% to account for supply chain costs. Inverter efficiency assumed to be 85%.
Labor Rates Are Higher in Germany Than in the U.S. for Some Functions, but Lower for Others

- The results that follow this slide rely on German wage rates derived from the survey.
- In the above graphic, data from the German statistical agency (DeStatis) are also shown for comparison (these data cover all sectors, so are not specific to PV).
- U.S. labor rates are from RS Means (as used by NREL cost modeling team and as used in NREL BoS survey analysis for the U.S.).
Residential Customer Acquisition Costs
Average $0.07/W in Germany

- Most respondents reported customer acquisition costs <$0.15/W; several small-volume installers reported somewhat higher costs
- On average, customer acquisition labor includes 3 hrs/system for sales representative and 2 hrs/system for design engineer

Notes: Other Customer Acquisition costs include such items as: sales calls, site visits, travel time to and from the site, contract negotiation, bid preparation. Marketing & Advertising and Other Customer Acquisition costs are based on reported annual expenditures, while System Design costs are based on reported labor hours and wages for system design engineering.
Customer Acquisition Costs in Germany Are $0.6/W Less Than in the U.S.

- Mean bid success rate is slightly lower in the US (30% in US vs. 40% in Germany)
- German installers leverage partnerships with equipment manufacturers
- Langen (2010) points to simpler and more certain value proposition in Germany (i.e., FiT), installer learning, and critical mass for word of mouth

**Note:** Bar chart of U.S. process costs are derived from NREL survey of U.S. installers (Ardani et al. 2012).
PII Costs Are Negligible for Residential PV in Germany

- Total PII costs of $0.03/W on average
- Fewer than 10 hours of labor required for all PII activities, and no fee
  - Average labor requirement of 5 hrs (confirmed by PV legal survey, lowest for all European countries)
  - Permit requests and incentive application are done online; usually no permit inspection required
- Grid upgrade costs for German residential PV systems are paid by Grid Operator (SEPA 2012)
PII Costs Account for Roughly $0.2/W of the German-U.S. PV Price Gap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PII requirements</th>
<th>$2011/W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SunRun (2011) $0.28/W
- CPF (2012) Only permitting labor time 14.5h
- PV Grid (2012) 4.7h

**Differences due to both PII labor costs and permit fee**

- PII labor costs are $0.12/W lower in Germany*
- Remainder of gap ($0.09/W) is associated with permit fee (assuming an average of $430 per system in the U.S.)
- Langen (2010) estimates PII costs for the US at $.80/W, and Germany at $.10/W
- PV Grid (2012) reports 2.5h for interconnection, 1.5h for interconnection permits and .7h for other legal-administrative processes in Germany
- SunRun (2011) estimate of $.50/W in the U.S. includes sales & marketing costs & variations in building requirements

---

*Note: Fully-burdened labor rates assumptions: 70% design engineer and 30% administrative labor; averaging $41/hr for Germany (based on survey questions) vs. $26/hr for the U.S. (based on RS Means data)
Installation Labor Costs in Germany
Average $0.23/W

- German follow-up survey shows higher labor hours than original survey, more in line with expectations:
  - Mean installation labor = 39 man-hours/system (vs. 7.5 hours in original survey)
  - Responses generally ranged from 25-50 hours/system
  - Respondents to original survey likely misinterpreted the question (i.e., confusion between hours-on-site vs. man-hours)

- No obvious economies of scale with respect to installer annual sales volume
German Installations Are Faster and Cheaper than in the United States

- Updated survey results show a sizable gap between the United States and Germany in installation times (36h)

- Installers in Germany rely even more on (cheaper) non-electrician installation labor than in the US (77% vs. 65%)

### Installation Labor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>electrician installation labor</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-electrician installation labor</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **PV Grid (2012)**
  - 26h

- **BNEF (2012)**
  - 24h

- **EuPD (2012)**
  - $0.42/W
  - 39h
Differences in Installation Labor Partly Stem from Different Mounting Practices

• Large majority of German installers either never or rarely install systems requiring roof-penetration

• Roof penetration is much more common in the United States, due to differences in roofing materials and higher wind speeds in some regions

• Follow-up survey also asked about the usage of roof-to-inverter conduits for wiring and about the location of grounding for German residential PV
  – But no clear trend that might explain differences in labor requirements compared to U.S. systems
Nationwide Sales Tax Exemptions in Germany Further Reduce Soft Costs

• Survey respondents confirmed that German residential PV systems are effectively exempt from revenue taxes/sales taxes/value added taxes
  – Regular tax rate of 19% can be exempted either via “Kleinunternehmer” or “Vorsteuererstattungs” clause

• In the United States, 23 states assess sales tax on residential PV systems, usually 4-8% of system prices, as do many local governments

• Given the spatial distribution of PV systems, and accounting for sales tax exemptions in some states, state and local sales taxes added $0.21/W to the median price of US residential PV in 2011
For residential PV in Germany, PII, customer acquisition, and installation labor are estimated to represent 53% of all non-hardware costs and 11% of the total system price.
Summary of Soft Cost Differences for Residential PV in the U.S. and Germany

Comparison of Soft Costs for Residential PV in Germany and the U.S. (customer-owned systems)

Notes: “Overhead, profit, and other residual soft costs” is calculated as the difference between total soft costs and the sum of the individual business process costs quantified through the German and U.S. installer surveys. This residual term includes such items as property-related expenses (rent, utilities, etc.), inventory-related costs, additional insurances and fees, and general administrative costs. Our estimate of $1.61/W for “overhead, profit and residual soft costs” is generally consistent with the findings of CPF (2012). Research by Woodlawn Associates (2012) suggests that profit margins for many U.S. installers are low or non-existent, implying that the differences shown for the “overhead, profit, and other residual soft costs” category is not the result of much higher profit margins in the U.S.
Summary of Soft Cost Differences for Residential PV in the U.S. and Germany

Build-Up of Cost Differential Between German and U.S. Residential PV (customer-owned systems)

Notes: “Overhead, profit, and other residual soft costs” is calculated as the difference between total soft costs and the sum of the individual business process costs quantified through the German and U.S. installer surveys. This residual term includes such items as property-related expenses (rent, utilities, etc.), inventory-related costs, additional insurances and fees, and general administrative costs. Our estimate of $1.61/W for “overhead, profit and residual soft costs” is generally consistent with the findings of CPF (2012). Research by Woodlawn Associates (2012) suggests that profit margins for many U.S. installers are low or non-existent, implying that the differences shown for the “overhead, profit, and other residual soft costs” category is not the result of much higher profit margins in the U.S.
Secondary Analyses
Questions Explored through Secondary Data Sources

1. To what extent do shorter project development times in Germany contribute to the apparent price gap (i.e., quicker pass-through of module price declines)?

2. Are residential PV systems larger in Germany, leading to potential price differences due to economies of scale at the system level?

3. Are a larger percentage of German systems comprised of Chinese modules than in the U.S.?

*Notes*: Item 2 adds not to the differences in business process costs presented previously, but helps explaining those differences (e.g. larger system sizes in Germany partly explain why marketing costs in $/W are lower).
Longer U.S. Project Development Time Contributes to Apparent Price Gap

• Based on TTS data and German survey responses, residential projects take 126 days to develop in the U.S. vs. 35 days in Germany

• When comparing German and U.S. system prices based on installation date, some of the difference is due to the longer development time in the U.S., i.e., German system pricing is effectively “shifted” one quarter relative to the U.S.

• In Q4 2011, this effect contributes ~$0.18/W ($3.26 minus $3.08) to the apparent price gap

• Larger or smaller impacts in other quarters, depending on speed of price declines
German Residential Systems Are Generally Larger Than U.S. Systems

Size Distribution of PV Systems ≤10kW Installed in 2011

Notes: US data based on TTS; German data reflects all grid-connected PV systems (in front + behind the meter) as collected by the Federal Grid Agency (Bundesnetzagentur, BNetzA)
If the Size Distribution of U.S. Residential Systems Were the Same as in Germany, Median Prices Would Be $0.15/W Lower

• Applying the price distribution shown here for U.S. systems to the system size distribution for German systems (shown on the previous slide) yields a median system price that is $0.15/W lower than the actual median price for the 2011 U.S. systems in the TTS data sample ($6.21/W)
Installer Purchase Prices for Chinese Modules Are Lower than for Non-Chinese Modules in Germany

Module purchase price for German installers

Data source: EuPD
The Price Gap Is Not Due to Differences in Chinese Module Market Share

Share of module manufacturers by country of headquarters for customer-owned ≤10kW systems in 2011

Chinese modules are cheaper ($1.61/W vs. $2.01/W in 2011), but...

Among customer owned systems ≤10 kW, the U.S. and Germany had similar shares of Chinese modules*

Thus differences in Chinese module market share do not contribute significantly to the German-U.S. price gap.

Data Sources: TTS, EuPD

Note: Third-party owned systems in the U.S. have a higher share of Chinese modules (e.g. BNEF 2012), but for the purpose of assessing the price gap in this analysis, we focus specifically on customer-owned systems.
Summary of Findings from Survey of German Installers

- Total non-hardware costs for residential PV in Germany are \(~$2.70/W\) lower than in the U.S.

- **Customer acquisition costs** average just $0.07/W in Germany, or roughly \($0.62/W\) lower than in the U.S.

- **Installation labor** requirements reportedly average 39 hours for German systems, leading to \($0.36/W\) lower costs than in the U.S.

- PII processes require 5 hours of labor, on average, in Germany, with no permitting fee, resulting in PII costs roughly \($0.21/W\) lower than in the U.S.

- German residential systems are exempt from **sales/value-added tax**, while U.S. systems are subject to an average sales tax of roughly \($0.21/W\) (accounting for sales tax exemptions in many U.S. states)

- The remaining gap in soft costs between Germany in the U.S. (\(~$1.32/W\)) is associated with **overhead, profit, and other residual soft costs** not captured in the categories above
Summary of Findings from Secondary Analysis

- Shorter project development times in Germany contribute to apparent price gap (e.g., \(~$0.2/W\) effect for Q4 2011 installations)
- Residential PV systems are larger in Germany (partly due to differences in policy design), benefitting from economies of scale (\($0.15/W\) effect)
  - Not additive to the differences in soft costs presented previously, but rather helps to explain those differences (e.g. larger system sizes in Germany are partly why marketing costs, on a per Watt basis, are lower)
- Market share of Chinese modules is similar for customer-owned residential systems in Germany and U.S., and thus does not contribute to price gap
Possible Market Drivers for Soft Cost Differential between Germany and U.S. (1)

- Greater market-wide deployment and longevity in Germany allow for cost reductions based on installer experience.

- Lower market fragmentation (one contiguous market and regulatory framework) and higher population density in Germany allow for lower overhead, transport, and supply chain costs.
  - In the US, at least 50 markets exist – many more when considering local permitting-inspection-interconnection rules.

- Larger and more concentrated markets in Germany (as well as cultural differences with the US) facilitate bandwagon effects and customer acquisition by word of mouth, leading to lower customer acquisition costs.
Possible Market Drivers for Soft Cost Differential between Germany and U.S. (2)

• Less onerous permitting-inspection-interconnection processes (e.g. online registration, no permitting fee or inspection by county officials) and installation practices (e.g. easier grounding, roof penetration) in Germany

• Simpler, more certain and more lasting value proposition in Germany allow for both lower customer acquisition + overhead costs, and larger average system sizes
  – FiT guaranteed for 20 years in Germany vs. varying value of net metering + state incentives + federal tax incentives in the US

• Regular declining FiT and high competition among installers yield pressure for price reductions and lower margins in Germany, while larger incentives, opportunities for higher value-based pricing, and less installer competition allow for higher prices and margins in US
Reducing residential PV prices in the United States may require policies that enable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A large and durable market size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A concentrated market → minimize fragmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A simple, transparent, certain incentive structure / value proposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple interconnection, permitting, and inspection requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular incentive declines to drive &amp; follow cost reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suggestions for Further Research

• Initiate a more refined analysis of overhead costs and margins among installers
• Better understand the pricing decision of installers and competition between installers (i.e., degree of “value-based pricing”)
• Further investigate installation practices and differing regulatory requirements (usage of grounding, roof-penetration and conduits)
• Compare supply-chain margins between the two countries and average prices paid by installers for modules and inverters
• Assess the role of FIT policies in Germany in stimulating price reductions and potential implications for U.S. solar policy
Questions?

Joachim Seel, jseel@lbl.gov
Galen Barbose, glbarbose@lbl.gov
Ryan Wiser, rhwiser@lbl.gov

Download LBNL Electricity Markets & Policy Publications: http://emp.lbl.gov/reports
First German prices were normalized for 2011 €, which were then converted to $ using the average exchange rate of the year 2011 of $1.39/€.
The average exchange rate in 2012 was $1.29/€, an expression of German system prices in $2012 using the 2012 average exchange rate would thus be about 7% lower than the prices expressed in $2011.

• Darghouth, Naim, Barbose, Galen and Wiser, Ryan. The Impact of Rate Design and Net Metering on the Bill Savings from Distributed PV for Residential Customers in California. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), April 2010.
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